Young v. Williams e	t al

Ш

1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6			
7	DANNY ANDREW YOUNG,	Case No. 2:12-cv-00524-RFB-NJK	
8	Petitioner,	ODDED	
9	v.	ORDER	
10			
11	BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,		
12	Respondents.		
13	In this habeas matter under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, for good cause shown,		
14	IT IS ORDERED that respondents' unopposed motion for redaction of evidentiary		
15	hearing transcript (ECF No. 100) is GRANTED consistent with the remaining provisions		
16	herein, with the Court finding, in accordance with Local Rule LR IC 6-1 and the		
17	requirements of Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006),		
18	and Kasza v. Whitman, 325 F.3d 1178, 1180-81 (9th Cir. 2003), that a compelling need to		
19	protect the privacy and personal identifying information of the then-minor by the narrowly		
20	limited redactions outweighs the public interest in open access to court records, with		
21	these privacy concerns continuing into adulthood as discussed in the Court's prior order		
22	(ECF No. 74 at 47 n.32).		
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court, with the assistance of the		
24	Court Reporter as needed: (a) shall seal the original filing of the transcript docketed at		
25	ECF No. 97, shall limit public access to same	e accordingly, and shall make corresponding	
26	changes or additions to the docket entry ref	lecting the sealing and restriction on access	
27	to the unredacted transcript; (b) shall file a redacted copy of the transcript with redactions		
28	substantially corresponding to the redactions proposed in ECF 100, at 21 (transcript page		

1	17), in a manner consistent with the customary practices of the Clerk and/or Court			
2	Reporter for such matters; (c) shall docket the redacted transcript under a new docket			
3	entry in the customary manner and with the standard provisos with regard to access to			
4	transcripts; and (d) finally, shall take such steps as in the Clerk's and the Court Reporter's			
5	discretion are necessary to ensure that general CM/ECF access to Exhibit A of ECF No.			
6	100 does not permit circumvention of the procedures for obtaining or viewing a copy of a			
7	transcript, including potentially striking or sealing said exhibit, again in the Clerk's and the			
8	Reporter's discretion as to the exact manner of achieving that end.			
9	DATED: <u>October 22, 2020</u> .			
10	-A-			
11				
12	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II United States District Judge			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	2			