

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

THE ERECTION COMPANY, et al.,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
ARCHER WESTERN CONTRACTORS,  
LLC, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00612-MMD-NJK

ORDER

ARCHER WESTERN  
CONTRACTORS, LLC,  
  
Counterclaimant  
  
v.  
  
THE ERECTION COMPANY,  
  
Counterdefendant.


ARCHER WESTERN  
CONTRACTORS, LLC,  
  
Cross-Claimant,  
  
v.  
  
POSTEL INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
  
Cross-Defendant.

ARCHER WESTERN  
CONTRACTORS, LLC,  
  
Third-Party Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
TRAVELERS CASUALTY & SURETY  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, et al.,  
  
Third-Party Defendants.

Before the Court is plaintiff The Erection Company, Inc.'s application for a status check as to the disposition of its Motion for Summary Judgment Against Postel

1 Industries, Inc. on Its Counter-Claim (dkt. no. 85) pursuant to Local Rule 7-6(b). (Dkt.  
2 no. 141.) The Motion for Summary Judgment was filed on July 11, 2013, and was ripe  
3 and fully submitted to the Court on September 5, 2013. The Court disposes of pending  
4 civil motions in chronological order, except for those motions that require disposition on  
5 an expedited basis. The Court is working on motions submitted before August 31, 2013,  
6 and will be moving through them chronologically. The Erection Company, Inc.'s Motion  
7 for Summary Judgment Against Postel Industries, Inc. on Its Counterclaim does not fall  
8 within the category that requires expedited disposition and will therefore be decided in  
9 the order of pending motions in civil cases. Oral argument will be scheduled if the Court  
10 determines argument is necessary.

11 ENTERED THIS 10<sup>th</sup> day of December 2013.

12  
13  
14   
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 MIRANDA M. DU  
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28