

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MINELABS AMERICAS, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff(s),	)	Case No. 2:12-cv-00827-GMN-NJK
	)	
vs.	)	<b>ORDER DENYING PROPOSED</b>
	)	<b>DISCOVERY PLAN AS PREMATURE</b>
UKR TRADE, INC., et al.,	)	(Docket No. 25)
	)	
Defendant(s).	)	

Pending before the Court is the parties’ proposed discovery plan (Docket No. 25), which is hereby **DENIED** as premature. The requirement to file a discovery plan is triggered when the first defendant “answers or otherwise appears.” *See* Local Rules 26-1(d), 26-1(e). To date, no answers have been filed. Defendants UKR Trade, Inc. and Pavlenko have filed a motion to dismiss. *See* Docket No. 12.<sup>1</sup> While other types of motions to dismiss may trigger the discovery deadlines in the Local Rules, a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction is a special appearance limited to challenging personal jurisdiction. *See, e.g., Gerber v. Riordon*, 649 F.3d 514, 520 (6th Cir. 2011). As such, there has been no appearance for purposes of Local Rule 26-1(d) and 26-1(3), and the proposed discovery plan is premature.<sup>2</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 29, 2013




---

NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> Judge Navarro has denied that motion with leave to re-file subject to jurisdictional discovery. Docket No. 26. The parties shall commence the jurisdictional discovery forthwith.

<sup>2</sup> The Court appreciates the parties’ efforts to ensure compliance with the Local Rules by filing the discovery plan in an abundance of caution.