

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ROBIN M. LEE,  
  
Plaintiff(s),  
  
v.  
  
PETER HO, et al.,  
  
Defendant(s).

2:12-CV-884 JCM (GWF)

**ORDER**

Presently before the court is the matter of *Lee v. Ho, et al.*, case no. 2:12-cv-884-JCM-GWF. Acting *pro se*, plaintiff Robin M. Lee has filed the instant motion of objection (doc. # 28) and motion for an order of default judgment (doc. # 26).

On September 27, 2013, this court entered an order denying plaintiff’s motion for leave to amend. (Doc. # 27). In that order, the court again reiterated that the complaint had been dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). (*Id.*). The court notified plaintiff for the *seventh* time that the docket does not reflect that the defendants have been served. (*Id.*). The court again denies plaintiff’s motion for default judgment (doc. # 26) for this reason.

With respect to his objections (doc. # 28), plaintiff has provided no legitimate basis on which this court may overrule its prior order (doc. # 27). In fact, plaintiff’s objections are largely incoherent, and the court cannot discern what, specifically, plaintiff is even objecting to.

...  
...


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiff's motion for an order of default judgment (doc. # 26) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion of objection (doc. # 28) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

DATED February 25, 2014.

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE