Cir. 1995). Absent extraordinary circumstances, abstention is required under <u>Younger</u> if the state proceedings: (1) are ongoing; (2) implicate important state interests; and (3) provide the plaintiff an adequate opportunity to litigate federal claims. <u>See id.</u> (citing <u>Middlesex County Ethics Comm. v.</u> <u>Garden State Bar Ass'n</u>, 457 U.S. 423, 432 (1982)).

Here, the proceedings are ongoing. It is axiomatic that the business of Clark County Family Services implicates an important state interest. Finally, Plaintiff has not shown why the state proceedings would deprive him of the opportunity to litigate any federal claims. Accordingly, the Younger Abstention Doctrine precludes this Court from interfering with the state court proceedings.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motions for Temporary Restraining Order (#2, #5) and Emergency Motions for Preliminary Injunctions (#3, #6) are **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Shortening Time (#4) is **DENIED**.

DATED this 7^{th} day of June 2012.

Kent J. Dawson

United States District Judge