

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7 ANGELO M. LEVI,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

11 Defendant.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00909-MMD-GWF

**ORDER**

**Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1) and Screening of Complaint**

12  
13 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1),  
14 filed on May 29, 2012.

15 **BACKGROUND**

16 Plaintiff alleges a claim against the Social Security Administration (SSA), challenging their  
17 denial of social security disability benefits. Plaintiff alleges that at all time relevant to this action, he  
18 was disabled as defined by the Social Security Act. Plaintiff claims that the Social Security  
19 Commissioner, initially and upon reconsideration, denied his request for supplemental security income.  
20 Plaintiff states that he timely requested review of the ALJ's decision with the Appeals Council, which  
21 was denied on March 29, 2012. Plaintiff now seeks judicial review of that final agency decision.

22 **DISCUSSION**

23 **I. Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis**

24 Plaintiff filed this instant action and attached a financial affidavit to his application and  
25 complaint as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Reviewing Levi's financial affidavit pursuant to 28  
26 U.S.C. § 1915, the Court finds that Plaintiff is unable to pre-pay the filing fee. As a result, Plaintiff's  
27 request to proceed in forma pauperis in federal court is granted.

28 ...

1           **II. Complaint**

2           Plaintiff brings suit against Michael J. Astrue alleging he was wrongfully denied social security  
3 disability benefit. Federal courts only have jurisdiction to conduct judicial review of SSA’s final  
4 decisions. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); *see also Pacific Coast Medical Enterprises v. Harris*, 633 F.2d 123,  
5 137 (9th Cir. 1980). Viewing Plaintiff’s complaint, it appears that Plaintiff fully exhausted his  
6 administrative remedies with the SSA. The Court will therefore allow Plaintiff’s complaint to proceed  
7 as a petition for judicial review of a final agency decision.

8           The Court additionally notes that attorney Marc Kalagian made an appearance on behalf of  
9 Plaintiff. Mr. Kalagian is a Nevada licensed attorney, but does not maintain an office in Nevada.  
10 Pursuant to LR IA 10-1(b), a Nevada licensed attorney who does not maintain an office in Nevada  
11 “shall either associate a licensed Nevada attorney maintaining an office in Nevada or designate a  
12 licensed Nevada attorney maintaining an office in Nevada, upon whom all papers, process, or pleadings  
13 required to be served upon the attorney may be so served.” Mr. Kalagian shall have 14 days from the  
14 date of this order to comply with LR IA 10-1(b). Accordingly,

15           **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1) is  
16 **granted** with the caveat that the fees shall be paid if recovery is made. At this time, Plaintiff shall not  
17 be required to pre-pay the full filing fee of three hundred fifty dollars (\$350.00).

18           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall file the Complaint.

19           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall serve the Commissioner of the  
20 Social Security Administration by sending a copy of summons and Complaint by certified mail to: (1)  
21 the General Counsel, Social Security Administration, Room 611, Altmeyer Building, 6401 Security  
22 Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235 and (2) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of  
23 Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington DC 20530.

24           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall issue summons to the United  
25 States Attorney for the District of Nevada, and deliver the summons and Complaint to the U.S. Marshal  
26 for service.

27           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants shall have **sixty (60) days** from the date of  
28 service to file their answer or responsive pleading to Plaintiff’s Complaint in this case.

