

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

ELLIOTT BUSHHEAD,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

2:12-cv-00921-JCM -VCF

ORDER

Before the court are Plaintiff Elliott Bushhead’s Motion/Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1) and Complaint (#1-1).

I. In Forma Pauperis Application

In Plaintiff Bushhead’s application (#1), Plaintiff asserts that he receives \$300 per month from the Moapa Band of Paiutes as a tribe member, but is currently unemployed. (#1). Plaintiff has \$25 in his bank account and he receives food stamps. *Id.* Plaintiff’s monthly expenses include: \$50 in rent (subsidized through the Moapa Band of Paiutes Housing Authority), \$60 for utilities, \$10 for telephone, \$37 for water, and \$50 for transportation to doctor’s appointments and to run errands. *Id.* Accordingly, Plaintiff’s request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted pursuant to § 1915(a).

II. Screening the Complaint

Upon granting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a court must additionally screen a complaint pursuant to § 1915(e). Specifically, federal courts are given the authority to dismiss a case if the action is legally “frivolous or malicious,” fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. § 1915(e)(2). “To survive

1 a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim
2 to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (internal quotations
3 and citation omitted).

4 In considering whether the Plaintiff has stated a claim upon which relief can be granted, all
5 material allegations in the complaint are accepted as true and are to be construed in the light most
6 favorable to the Plaintiff. *Russell v. Landrieu*, 621 F.2d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 1980). When a court
7 dismisses a complaint under § 1915(e), the plaintiff should be given leave to amend the complaint with
8 directions as to curing its deficiencies, unless it is clear from the face of the complaint that the
9 deficiencies could not be cured by amendment. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir.
10 1995) (citation omitted).

11 **A. Jurisdiction**

12 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, federal district courts have jurisdiction over “all civil actions
13 arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.” “A case ‘arises under’ federal law
14 either where federal law creates the cause of action or ‘where the vindication of a right under state law
15 necessarily turn[s] on some construction of federal law.’” *Republican Party of Guam v. Gutierrez*, 277
16 F.3d 1086, 1088-89 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting *Franchise Tax Bd. v. Construction Laborers Vacation*
17 *Trust*, 463 U.S. 1, 8-9 (1983)). The presence or absence of federal-question jurisdiction is governed
18 by the “well-pleaded complaint rule.” *Caterpillar, Inc. v. Williams*, 482 U.S. 386, 392 (1987). Under
19 the well-pleaded complaint rule, “federal jurisdiction exists only when a federal question is presented
20 on the face of the plaintiff’s properly pleaded complaint.” *Id.*

21 Plaintiff’s complaint seeks a review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security
22 denying Plaintiff’s application for Social Security benefits under Title II and Title XVI of the Social
23 Security Act. (#1-1). Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), “any individual, after any final decision of the
24 Commissioner of Social Security to which he was a party . . . may obtain a review of such decision.
25 . . . Such action shall be brought in the district court of the United States” Pursuant to 42 U.S.C.

1 § 1383(c)(3), “the final determination of the Commissioner of Social Security after a hearing . . . shall
2 be subject to judicial review as provided in § 405(g)” This court has jurisdiction under 42 U.S.C.
3 § 405(g) and 42 U.S.C. § 1383(c)(3).

4 **B. Plaintiff’s Claims**

5 Plaintiff asserts that the Social Security’s ruling that Plaintiff “is not disabled and not entitled
6 to benefits under Title II and Title XVI of the Social Security Act is not supported by substantial
7 evidence and is contrary to the applicable laws and regulations.” (#1-1). Plaintiff also asserts that
8 Plaintiff “is disabled and is entitled to benefits under [Title] II and [Title] XVI of the Social Security
9 Act.” (#1-1). Accepting these allegations as true and construing them in the light most favorable to the
10 Plaintiff, Plaintiff states a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. *See Ashcroft*, 556 U.S. at 662;
11 *Russell*, 621 F.2d at 1037.

12 Accordingly, and for good cause shown,

13 IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff’s Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1) is GRANTED.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff is permitted to maintain the action to conclusion
15 without the necessity of prepayment of any additional fees, costs, or security. This Order granting *in*
16 *forma pauperis* status shall not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government expense.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall file the complaint (#1-1), issue
18 summons to the Defendants named in the complaint, deliver the same to the U.S. Marshal for service,
19 and send blank copies of the USM-285 forms to the Plaintiff.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days to furnish to the U.S.
21 Marshal the required USM-285 forms. Within twenty (20) days after Plaintiff receives copies of the
22 completed USM-285 forms from the U.S. Marshal, Plaintiff must file a notice with the court identifying
23 which Defendants were served and which were not served, if any. If the Plaintiff wishes to have the
24 U.S. Marshal attempt service again on any unserved defendants, then a motion must be filed with the
25 court identifying the unserved Defendants, specifying a more detailed name and address, and indicating

1 whether some other manner of service should be used. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
2 Rule 4(m), service must be accomplished within one hundred twenty (120) days from the date that the
3 complaint was filed.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that from this point forward, Plaintiff shall serve upon Defendants,
5 or their attorney if they have retained one, a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document
6 submitted for consideration by the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for
7 filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the
8 Defendants or their counsel. The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge, magistrate
9 judge, or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate of service.

10 DATED this 8th day of June, 2012.

11 

12 _____
13 **CAM FERENBACH**
14 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**