

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CODY LEAVITT,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	2:12-cv-00987-JCM-CWH
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
DWIGHT NEVEN, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Respondents.)	
_____	/	

Cody Leavitt, a prisoner at High Desert State Prison in Indian Springs, Nevada, has filed a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No.4) contending that the issues of his petition are extremely complex and that he will require a full evidentiary hearing and extensive discovery prior to such a hearing. Petitioner also notes that he has more than one petition pending and that the two criminal proceedings culminated in a combined negotiated plea agreement which intertwines the two proceedings to some extent.

There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities

1 of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the
2 petitioner is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. See
3 *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970).

4 Although the court previously reviewed the petition and noted that it was pleaded
5 adequately, further review of the interrelation of the two actions together with the complexity such
6 interrelation brings to each case warrants reevaluation of the need and appropriateness of appointing
7 counsel in this action. Moreover, it appears that counsel will be appointed in the related matter and it
8 seems an appointment of the same counsel in this matter would preserve due process while conserving
9 court resources. The ex parte motion for appointment of counsel shall be granted and the clerk shall be
10 directed to seek to appoint the same counsel in this action as is to be appointed in case number 2:12-cv-
11 00625-MMD-RJJ.

12 Therefore, the federal public defender for the District of Nevada (FPD) shall be appointed
13 to represent petitioner. If the FPD is unable to represent petitioner, due to a conflict of interest or other
14 reason, then alternate counsel for petitioner shall be located, and the court will enter a separate order
15 appointing such alternate counsel. In either case, counsel will represent petitioner in all future federal
16 proceedings relating to this matter (including subsequent actions) and appeals therefrom, unless allowed
17 to withdraw.

18 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel
19 (ECF No. 4) is **GRANTED**. The federal public defender is appointed to represent petitioner. To the
20 extent that it is possible, the same counsel as is appointed to represent petitioner in case number 2:12-cv-
21 00625-MDD-RJJ shall serve as counsel in this action.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the clerk shall **ELECTRONICALLY SERVE** the
23 federal public defender for the District of Nevada (FPD) a copy of this order, together with a copy of the
24 petition for writ of habeas corpus and its attachments (ECF No. 1). The FPD shall have thirty (30) days
25
26

1 from the date of entry of this order to file a notice of appearance or to indicate to the court its inability
2 to represent petitioner in these proceedings.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, after counsel has appeared for petitioner in this case,
4 the court will issue a scheduling order, which will, among other things, set a deadline for the filing of
5 a first amended petition.

6
7 Dated this 6th day of July, 2012.

8 
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26