

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 ***

5
6 PERCY LAVAE BACON,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 OSWALD REYES, *et al.*,

10 Defendant.

2:12-cv-01222-JCM-VCF

ORDER

[*Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Prison Inmate Copy
Work Limit (#89)]

11 Before the Court is *Pro Se* Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Prison Inmate Copy Work
12 Limit filed on June 7, 2013. (#89). Plaintiff seeks to extend his prison copywork limit to \$500.00. *Id.*
13 No opposition has been filed.

14 **Discussion:**

15 Under Local Rule 7-5(b), "[a]ll *ex parte* motions, applications or requests shall contain a
16 statement showing good cause why the matter was submitted to the Court without notice to all parties."
17 In Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Prison Inmate Copy Work Limit (#89), Plaintiff failed to demonstrate
18 good cause why his Motion should be deemed *ex parte*. LR 7-5(c) provides that motions "may be
19 submitted *ex parte* only for compelling reasons, and not for unopposed . . . motions." Plaintiff has not
20 given any reason why he needs to file his Motion *ex parte*. Thus, he has not adequately met the need to
21 file his Motion *ex parte*.


22 Accordingly,

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Prison Inmate Copy
24 Work Limit (#89) is DENIED without prejudice.

25

1 Plaintiff may re-file his motion with notice to all parties.

2 DATED this 27th day of June, 2013.



3 CAM FERENBACH
4 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25