1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	PANLIANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION, a	
8 9	Nevada corporation, ALAN G. SMITH, individually, A.G. SOLUTIONS LIMITED, a British Columbia Business Entity,) 2:12-CV-01376-PMP-CWH
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	VS.) <u>ORDER</u>
12	ISEE3D, INC, British Columbia corporate	
13	entity, DWIGHT ROMANCIA, individually, MARK GEOHEGAN, individually, BAY	
14	MANAGEMENT LTD., a Florida Business Entity, ILYA SOROKIN, individually, TOM	
15	MITCHELL, individually, INXSYS INC., a British Columbia Business Entity individually, 1 through 10 DOE INDIVIDUALS and 11	
16	through 20 ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES,	
17	Defendants	
18)
19		
20	Before the Court for consideration is Defendant Mark Geohegan's fully briefed Motion	
21	to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint (Doc. #28) filed August 8, 2013.	
22	In its Response (Doc. #31) Plaintiffs agree to dismiss Cause of Action Eleven for	
23	Breach of Contractual Financial Duty, and Cause of Action Twelve for Breach of Fiduciary Duty as	
24	Financial Officer and/or Director as to Defendant Geohegan. Additionally, having considered the	
25	fully briefed Motion before the Court, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have failed to allege a viable	
26	claim for relief for Constructive Trust and Unj	ust Enrichment (Cause of Action Eight) as to

1	Defendant Geohegan. The Court further finds that Defendant Geohegan has otherwise failed to	
2	show entitlement to relief on his Motion to Dismiss.	
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Geohegan's Motion to Dismiss (Doc.	
4	#28) is GRANTED as to Plaintiffs' Causes of Action Eight, Eleven, and Twelve, and is DENIED	
5	in all other respects.	
6	DATED: December 9, 2013.	
7	Phip. m. On	
8	PHILIP M. PRO	
9	United States District Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	2	