

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

KAREN M. LEDESMA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

2:12-cv-01461-JCM-VCF

ORDER

(Motion to Serve Party with Summons and Complaint #16)

Before the court is plaintiff Karen M. Ledesma’s Motion to Serve Party with Summons and Complaint. (#16). No Opposition was filed.

Plaintiff filed her motion/application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (#1) and complaint (#1-1) on August 17, 2012. The court issued an order on August 22, 2102, granting the motion/application (#1) and ordering the clerk to file the complaint (#1-1), “serve the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration by sending a copy of the summons and Complaint (#1-1) by certified mail to: (1) General Counsel, Social Security Administration, Room 611, Altmeyer Bldg., 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, Maryland 21235; and (2) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 4400, Washington, D.C. 20530,” and “issue summons to the United States Attorney for the District of Nevada and deliver the summons and Complaint to the U.S. Marshal for service.” (#3).


On August 23, 2012, summons were issued as to General Counsel for Social Security Administration (#5), Attorney General of the United States (#5-1), and United States Attorney for the District of Nevada (#5-2). On August 30, 2012, the summons was returned executed as to General Counsel for the Social Security Administration. (#9). On September 7, 2012, the summons was

1 returned executed for Attorney General of the United States. (#11). Plaintiff filed the instant motion
2 on January 8, 2013, asking this court to issue summons to the United States Attorney for the District
3 of Nevada and deliver the summons and complaint to the U.S. Marshal for service. (#16). On January
4 10, 2013, the summons was returned executed as to the United States Attorney for the District of
5 Nevada. (#17). The plaintiff's motion is therefore moot.

6 Accordingly, and for good cause shown,

7 IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Karen M. Ledesma's Motion to Serve Party with Summons and
8 Complaint (#16) is DENIED as moot.

9 DATED this 15th day of January, 2013.

10 

11 _____
12 **CAM FERENBACH**
13 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**