

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PEDRO GONZALEZ, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cr-01474-JCM-CWH
ORDER


This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs’ Second Motion to Extend Time to Respond (#27), filed on October 23, 2013, and Defendants’ Response (#28), filed October 23, 2013. Plaintiffs request an open-ended extension of time to respond to the pending motion to dismiss (#21), which was filed on September 4, 2013. The Court previously granted Plaintiff an extension of time to file its response by October 21, 2013. As a result, Plaintiffs have had approximately 45 days to respond to the motion to dismiss. Plaintiffs now seek an open-ended extension so they can continue their attempt to retain counsel. The undersigned has reviewed the briefing and finds that a limited 14-day extension is appropriate. No further extensions will be granted absent compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). The failure to file a response will result in a recommendation that the motion to dismiss (#21) be granted. *See* Local Rule 7-2(d) (“The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion.”).

Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs’ Second Motion to Extend Time to Respond (#27) is **granted in part**. Plaintiffs are granted a limited extension of time to respond to Motion to

1 Dismiss (#21), up to and including Thursday, November 7, 2013. Failure to file a response will
2 result in a recommendation that the motion to dismiss be granted.

3 Dated: October 25, 2013.

4
5 
6 **C.W. Hoffman, Jr.**
7 **United States Magistrate Judge**