1					
2	2 DANIEL G. BOGDEN 2 United States Attorney	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney			
3	Nevada Bar No. 2137	Nevada Bar No. 2137			
4	4 Assistant United States Attorney				
5	U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000				
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 6 Telephone: 702-388-6336				
7	Facsimile: 702-388-6787				
8	Attorneys for the United States				
9					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
12	***				
13	13				
14	¹⁴ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,				
15	15 Plaintiff, 2:12-cv-01489-GMN-VCF				
16					
17					
18	18				
19	19 Defendant.				
20	20 DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE AS TO EAN HOLDINGS PERSONS OR ENTITIES WHO CLAIM AN INTEREST IN THE DEFE				
21	IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED ACTION				
22	22 FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE AS TO \$296,835.00 IN UNITED S	STATES CURRENCY			
23	23 AND MERCEDES AGERO-SIMON				
24	The United States filed a verified Complaint for Forfeiture in Rem (ECF No. 1) on August 22,				
25	2013. The Complaint (ECF No. 1) alleges the defendant property:				
23					

1	a.	was furnished or was intended to be furnished in exchange for controlled	
2		substances in violation of Title II of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §	
3	801 et seq., and is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C.		
4		§ 881(a)(6);	
5	b.	is proceeds traceable to exchanges of controlled substances in violation of Title II	
6		of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., and is subject to	
7		forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6);	
8	с.	was used or was intended to be used to facilitate violations of Title II of the	
9		Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., and is subject to forfeiture to	
10		the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6);	
11	Public notice of the forfeiture action and arrest was given to all persons and entities by		
12 13	publication on the official government website www.forfeiture.gov from September 8, 2012, through		
13	October 7, 2012. Notice of Filing Proof of Publication, ECF No. 6.		
15	On August 27, 2012, the Court entered an Order for Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for		
16	the Property and Notice (ECF No. 4) and issued the Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the		
17	Property (ECF No. 5).		
18	Pursuant to the Order (ECF No. 4), the Complaint (ECF No. 1), the Order (ECF No. 4), the		
19	Summons and Warrant (ECF No. 5), and the Notice of Complaint for Forfeiture (ECF No. 9, p. 3-4, 18-		
20	19, 34-35, 48-49, 61-62, and 79-80) were served on the defendant property and all persons claiming an		
21	interest in the defendant property. All persons interested in the defendant property were required to file		
22	their claims with the Clerk of the Court no later than 35 days after the notice of this action was sent by		
23	mail, followed by the filing of an answer to the Complaint within 21 days after the filing of their		
24	respective claims. Complaint, ECF No. 1; Order for Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the		
25			

Property and Notice, ECF No. 4; Summons and Warrant Issued by the Clerk, ECF No. 5; Notice of Filing Service of Process, ECF No. 9.

On September 17, 2012, the United States Marshals Service served the Complaint, the Order, the Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property, and the Notice by executing them on the defendant property. Notice of Filing Service of Process, ECF No. 9, p. 2-15.

On October 10, 2012, the United States Marshals Service served the Complaint, the Order, the Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property, and the Notice on Mercedes Agero-Simon, aka Mercedes Berriz, by regular mail and certified return receipt mail. Notice of Filing Service of Process, ECF No. 9, p. 16-46.

On October 3, 2012, the United States Marshals Service served the Complaint, the Order, the Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property, and the Notice on Mercedes Agero-Simon, aka Mercedes Berriz, by and through her counsel David Z. Chesnoff, by personal service. Notice of Filing Service of Process, ECF No. 9, p. 47-60.

On October 10, 2012, the United States Marshals Service served the Complaint, the Order, the Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property, and the Notice on CT Corporation Systems, Agent for Service of EAN Holdings, LLC, by regular mail and certified return receipt mail. Notice of Filing Service of Process, ECF No. 9, p. 61-91.

On January 11, 2013, a Verified Claim and Demand for Return of Property was filed with the Court by Mercedes Agero-Simon. Verified Claim and Demand for Return of Property, ECF No. 14.

On January 22, 2013, an Answer to Civil Complaint for Forfeiture In Rem was filed by Mercedes Agero-Simon with the Court. Answer to Civil Complaint for Forfeiture In Rem, ECF No. 15.

1	On June 19, 2013, a proposed Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Judgment of		
2	Forfeiture as to Mercedes Agero-Simon and Order was filed with the Court. Settlement Agreement,		
3	Stipulation for Entry of Judgment of Forfeiture, ECF No. 20.		
4	On June 25, 2013, the Court entered an Order granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for		
5	Entry of Judgment of Forfeiture as to Mercedes Agero-Simon. Order, ECF No. 21.		
6	No other person or entity has filed a claim, answer, or responsive pleading within the time		
7	permitted by 18 U.S.C.§ 983(a)(4) and Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. Rule G(4) and (5).		
8	On July 9, 2013, the United States filed an Amended Request for Entry of Default against the		
9	defendant property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the		
10	above-entitled action. Amended Request for Entry of Default, ECF No. 24.		
11	On July 10, 2013, the Clerk of the Court entered a Default against the defendant property and all		
12 13	persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the above-entitled action. Default,		
13	ECF No. 25.		
15	The allegations of the Complaint are sustained by the evidence and should be adopted as findings		
16	of fact. The Court should conclude as a matter of law that the United States is entitled to the relief		
17	requested in the Complaint.		
18	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that		
19	Default Judgment of Forfeiture is entered against EAN Holdings, LLC, and all persons or entities who		
20	claim an interest in the defendant property in the above-entitled action.		
21	FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Final Judgment of Forfeiture is		
22	entered against the \$296,835.00 in United States Currency and Mercedes Agero-Simon.		
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said property be, and the		

same is hereby forfeited to the United States of America, and no right, title, or interest in the property

shall exist in any other party, other than Merceds Agero-Simon, whose rights and liabilities are adjudged below.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, the property having been forfeited, within a practicable time hereafter for the United States, the United States must release to MERCEDES AGERO-SIMON, through David Z. Chesnoff, Esq., one payment of \$103,892.25 in United States Currency, less any debt owed to the United States, any agency of the United States, or any debt in which the United States is authorized to collect.

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(a)(2), that there was reasonable cause for the seizure or arrest of the defendant property.

DATED this 19th day of December, 2013.

Gloria M. Navarro United States District Judge