|   | 1 |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | 2 |  |
|   | 3 |  |
|   | 4 |  |
|   | 5 |  |
|   | 6 |  |
|   | 7 |  |
|   | 8 |  |
|   | 9 |  |
|   | 0 |  |
|   | 1 |  |
|   | 2 |  |
|   | 3 |  |
|   | 4 |  |
|   | 5 |  |
|   | 6 |  |
|   | 7 |  |
|   | 8 |  |
|   | 9 |  |
| ) | 0 |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |
| ) | 2 |  |
| ) | 3 |  |
| 2 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 5 |  |
| ) | 6 |  |

27

28

Exhibit D consists of audit manuals. See Docket No. 80 at 4-6. Defendant argues that the audit manuals constitute trade secrets, that Defendant maintains the confidentiality of their contents, and that revelation of the audit manuals would result in Defendant being competitively disadvantaged. See Docket No. 80 at 6-8. Defendant also argues that the exhibit cannot be easily redacted while leaving other meaningful information available to the public. See id. at 9. The Court agrees that compelling reasons exist to allow Exhibit D to be filed under seal and hereby **GRANTS** the motion to seal as to Exhibit D. Because that exhibit was improperly submitted for in camera review rather than filed on the docket under seal, the Court further ORDERS Defendant to file, no later than November 6, 2014, a copy of Exhibit D on the docket under seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 29, 2014

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge