

1

2

3

4

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

5

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6

7

HIRAN PUJOL,

2:12-CV-1616 JCM (VCF)

8

Plaintiff(s),

9

v.

10

BANK OF AMERICA HOME LOANS,  
N.A., et al.,

11

12

Defendant(s).

13

14

**ORDER**

15

16 Presently before the court is defendants Bank of America, N.A. for itself and as successor  
17 by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP; Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. as trustee for the  
18 certificate holders of Merrill Lynch Mortgage Investors Trust, Mortgage Loan Asset-Back  
19 Certificates, Series 2007-MLN1; and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.'s motion to  
20 dismiss. (Doc. # 10). Following two requests for additional time to submit a timely response to  
21 defendants' motion, plaintiff Hiran Pujol has failed to file an opposition. (*See docs. # 20, 22*).<sup>1</sup>

22 "To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted  
23 as true, to 'state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face.'" *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937,  
24 1949 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). "Where a  
25 complaint pleads facts that are 'merely consistent' with a defendant's liability, it 'stops short of the

26

27

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff had up to, and including, November 16, 2012, to file an opposition. To date, plaintiff has failed to  
28 file a response.

1 line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief.” *Id.* (citing *Bell Atlantic*, 550 U.S.  
2 at 557). However, where there are well pled factual allegations, the court should assume their  
3 veracity and determine if they give rise to relief. *Id.* at 1950.

4 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), an opposing party’s failure to file a timely response to any  
5 motion constitutes the party’s consent to the granting of the motion and is proper grounds for  
6 dismissal. *U.S. v. Warren*, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). However, prior to dismissal, the  
7 district court is required to weigh several factors: “(1) the public’s interest in expeditious resolution  
8 of litigation; (2) the court’s need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants;  
9 (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less  
10 drastic sanctions.” *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing *Henderson v. Duncan*,  
11 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)).

12 In light of plaintiff’s failure to respond and weighing the factors identified in *Ghazali*, the  
13 court finds dismissal appropriate.

14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants Bank of  
15 America, N.A. for itself and as successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP; Deutsche  
16 Bank National Trust Co. as trustee for the certificate holders of Merrill Lynch Mortgage Investors  
17 Trust, Mortgage Loan Asset-Back Certificates, Series 2007-MLN1; and Mortgage Electronic  
18 Registration Systems, Inc.’s motion to dismiss (doc. # 10) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

19 The case is hereby dismissed as to defendants Bank of America, N.A. for itself and as  
20 successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP; Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. as  
21 trustee for the certificate holders of Merrill Lynch Mortgage Investors Trust, Mortgage Loan Asset-  
22 Back Certificates, Series 2007-MLN1; and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. without  
23 prejudice.

24 DATED November 29, 2012.

25  
26   
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE