⁰³²⁸⁻GMN-CWH.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

22 ///

23

24

25

26 ///

diversity jurisdiction. Doc. #1. Thereafter, defendants filed the present motions to dismiss, which plaintiff Toromanova did not oppose.

While the failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion under LR 7-2(d), plaintiff's failure to file an opposition, in and of itself, is an insufficient ground for dismissal. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Before dismissing a case, a district court is required to weigh several factors: (1) the public's interest in the expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendant; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less dramatic sanctions. *Id*.

Here, these factors weigh in favor of dismissal. The need for the expeditious resolution of cases on the court's docket is strong. Defendants have an interest in resolving this matter in a timely manner. Further, there is a lack of prejudice to plaintiff because she has shown an unwillingness to continue litigating this complaint which weighs in favor of granting the motion. Moreover, the court has reviewed the allegations in the complaint and finds Toromanova's claims to be without merit. Thus, although public policy favors a resolution on the merits, the court finds that dismissal is warranted in light of these other considerations. Therefore, the court shall grant defendants' motions to dismiss and dismiss plaintiff's complaint in its entirety.

///

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motions to dismiss (Doc. ##8, 10, 14) are
2	GRANTED. Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. #1, Exhibit A) is DISMISSED in its entirety.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to expunge lis pendens (Doc. #11) is
4	GRANTED. Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. shall have ten (10) days from entry of this order to
5	prepare an appropriate order expunging lis pendens and submit the same for signature.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to declare plaintiff a vexatious
7	litigant (Doc. #12) is GRANTED. Plaintiff Dimitritza Toromanova is declared a VEXATIOUS
8	LITIGANT and is PERMANENTLY ENJOINED from filing any further actions against defendant
9	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. relating to the subject property or recording any documents against the
10	subject property without first obtaining leave of Court.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	DATED this 6th day of January, 2013.
13	Same
14	LARRY R. HICKS
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	