

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 HIROKO KAWAYE,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 WATER TEC INTERNATIONAL, INC., et
11 al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-01670-JCM-PAL

**ORDER
and
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

(Mot for Determination – Dkt. #57)

13 Before the court is Defendant Water Tec International, Inc.’s Motion for Determination
14 of Good Faith Settlement (Dkt. #57).

15 Water Tec and Plaintiff attended a settlement conference with the court on September 29,
16 2014, in which Plaintiff agreed to accept \$39,000 to settle her claim against Water Tec
17 International (“WTI”). In the current motion, WTI seeks an order of the court determining that
18 its settlement was reached in good faith for purposes of N.R.S. § 17.245.

19 After the settlement was reached, the court directed counsel to meet and confer to
20 exchange the settlement and release papers, and settlement documents. The parties did so.
21 Unfortunately, at two successive status conferences, counsel for Plaintiff informed the court that
22 his client had failed to communicate with him and had not executed the settlement documents
23 which counsel for Plaintiff agrees accurately reflect the parties’ settlement.

24 The court granted counsel for Plaintiff’s request for a two week extension to again
25 attempt to obtain his client’s cooperation at the first status conference. The court advised counsel
26 for the parties that it was inclined to enter an order to show cause in the event Plaintiff continued
27 to refuse to communicate with her counsel and cooperate in effectuating the parties’ settlement.
28 Counsel for Plaintiff indicated he would communicate this to his client in writing. At the second
status conference on November 13, 2014 counsel for Plaintiff advised that he had sent another


1 letter certified mail to his client advising her that the court may enter an order to show cause if
2 she failed to communicate and failed to execute settlement documents but that she had still failed
3 to respond. The court gave counsel until November 27, 2014, to file any motion deemed
4 appropriate to resolve the matter given Plaintiff's failure to communicate with her counsel and
5 execute the documents needed to consummate the settlement. To date, neither party has
6 complied.

7 WTI's motion does not attach a copy of the parties' settlement documents, and Plaintiff
8 has not signed the settlement documents or communicated with her counsel. Under these
9 circumstances,

10 **IT IS ORDERED** that Water Tec International's Motion for Determination of Good
11 Faith Settlement (Dkt. #57) is **DENIED without prejudice**.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Plaintiff shall have until December 17, 2014 to show
13 cause, in writing, why this case should not be dismissed for her failure to communicate with her
14 counsel and failure to execute settlement documents which her counsel acknowledges accurately
15 reflected the terms of the settlement the parties reached on September 29, 2014. **Failure to**
16 **timely comply with this order to show cause will result in a recommendation to the district**
17 **judge that Plaintiff's case be dismissed for failure to comply with this order, failure to**
18 **communicate with her counsel and failure to prosecute this action.**

19 Dated this 2nd day of December, 2014.

20
21 
22 PEGGY A. LEEN
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28