questions as to federal subject matter jurisdiction and Defendant's defective removal in light of his apparent Nevada citizenship.

Defendant failed to respond to the Court's order. Plaintiff subsequently filed two Motions to Remand, both of which were unopposed. The Court's review of Plaintiff's Motions demonstrates that good cause appears to remand this case to state court. Further, Defendant's failure to respond to Plaintiff's Motions constitutes consent to their granting. See Local Rule 7-2(d).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (dkt. no. 8) is GRANTED and the case REMANDED. Plaintiff's second Motion to Remand (dkt. no. 9) is DENIED as moot.

The Clerk of the Court shall close this case.

ENTERED THIS 5<sup>th</sup> day of April 2013.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE