

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Sandra Faye Taylor,)
4)
5 Plaintiff,)
6 vs.) Case No.: 2:12-cv-01692-GMN-GWF
7 Social Security Administration,) **ORDER**
8 Defendant.)
9)

10 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States
11 Magistrate Judge George W. Foley, Jr. (ECF No. 23.) No objections have been filed, and
12 the deadline to object has passed. For the reasons discussed below, the Court will accept
13 and adopt in full Judge Foley’s Report and Recommendation.

14 This action was referred to Judge Foley pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and
15 District of Nevada Local Rule IB 1-4. Judge Foley entered a report of his findings and
16 recommended that this Court enter an order denying Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand (ECF
17 No. 19), and granting Defendant’s Cross-motion to Affirm (ECF No. 22). (R&R, ECF
18 No. 23.)

19 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations
20 of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. §
21 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon such a filing, the Court must make a de novo
22 determination of those portions of the Report to which objections are made. Id. The
23 Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations
24 made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b).

25 In his Report, Judge Foley discussed the underlying facts and issues, and
concluded that the decision of the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) was supported by


1 substantial evidence, and that the ALJ articulated sufficiently compelling reasons to reject
2 Plaintiff's testimony. Judge Foley entered his Report and Recommendation (ECF No.
3 23) on December 19, 2013, and no party filed an objection. The Court finds no basis to
4 reject Judge Foley's findings, and will therefore adopt his recommendation.

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 23)
6 be **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Motion to Remand (ECF No. 19) is
8 **DENIED**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Cross-motion to Affirm (ECF No. 22) is
10 **GRANTED**. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

11
12 DATED this 13th day of January, 2014.

13
14
15 
16 _____
Gloria M. Navarro
United States District Judge