

1	judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-
2	Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district
3	court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objects were made); see also
4	Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's
5	decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any
6	issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's
7	recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g.,
8	Johnstone, 263 F.Supp.2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation
9	to which no object was filed).
10	Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine
11	whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon review of the docket, the court
12	takes notice of plaintiff's repeated failure to follow court orders. (See docs. # 6,12). Based on this
13	repeated willful refusal to comply with multiple court orders, this court finds good cause to adopt
14	the magistrate judge's findings in full.
15	Accordingly,
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and
17	recommendation of Magistrate Judge Koppe dismissing plaintiff's complaint (doc. #13) be, and the
18	same hereby is, ADOPTED in its entirety.
19	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Robert Rosenberg's complaint (doc. $#1$) be, and
20	the same hereby is, DISMISSED.
21	DATED April 25, 2013.
22	
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
nan Judge	- 2 -

James C. Mah U.S. District Judge

I