

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARGARET A. HUGHES,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant(s).

2:12-CV-1787 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hoffman. (Doc. # 17). No objections have been filed even though the deadline has passed.

Plaintiff has filed a motion to remand this action to the Social Security Administration. (Doc. # 14). In response, defendant requested that plaintiff’s motion be denied and that the court grant summary judgment in her favor. (Doc. # 15). The magistrate judge recommended that plaintiff’s motion be denied, and that the court grant defendant’s cross-motion for summary judgment. (Doc. # 17).

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

**James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge**

