		l
		l
1		1
2		1
3		1
4		1
5 6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	1
8	JOY WINSTON,)	1
9	Petitioner,) 2:12-cv-01844-JCM-CWH	1
10	VS.)	1
11	WARDEN CAROLYN MYLES, <i>et al.</i> ,) ORDER	1
12	Respondents.	1
13	Joy Winston, a Nevada prisoner, has submitted a pro se petition for writ of habeas corpus	1
14	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee for this action. ECF No. 9. The petition	1
15	shall now be filed and served on respondents.	1
16	Petitioner has also filed a motion for leave to exceed the page limit and for appointment of	1
17	counsel. The motion to exceed the page limit will be granted and the petition shall be filed. A petition	1
18	for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is aware. If petitioner	1
19	fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief	1
20	upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions). If petitioner is aware of any claim not	1
21	included in his petition, he should notify the Court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a	1
22	motion to amend his petition to add the claim.	1
23	There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding.	1
24	Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); Bonin v. Vasquez, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993).	1
25	The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th	1
26	Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert.	1
		I

denied, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are
 such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person
 of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. See *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at
 1196; *see also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The claims in this case are not
 especially complex. The motion for counsel shall be denied.

6

7

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion to exceed page limit (ECF No. 3) is **GRANTED**. The motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 4) is **DENIED**.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall FILE and ELECTRONICALLY SERVE
9 the petition (ECF No. 1-1, 1-2 and 1-3) upon the respondents.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from entry of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other 11 12 response, respondents shall address any claims presented by petitioner in his petition as well as any 13 claims presented by petitioner in any statement of additional claims. Respondents shall raise all 14 potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and 15 procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed, respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the 16 17 United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have forty-18 five (45) days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General
of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for consideration
by the court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the
date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The court may
disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action,
petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case.

- 25
- 26

1	IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents herein
2	shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The CM/ECF
3	attachments that are filed shall further be identified by the number or numbers (or letter or letters) of the
4	exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be forwarded
5	– for this case – to the staff attorneys in Reno.
6	Dated this day of May, 2013.
7	Xerres C. Mahan
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	3