1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	* * *	
4	Case No. 2:12-cv-01887-APG-PA	
5	YUNG LO, Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
6	v.	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
7	ETT GAMING, et al.,	(ECF No. 76)
8	Defendants.	
9		
10	Plaintiff Yung Lo moves for reconsideration of my prior order dismissing her complaint.	
11	"Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly discovered	
12	evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is	
13	an intervening change in controlling law." Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cnty., Or. v. ACandS,	
14	Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). A district court also may reconsider its decision if "other,	
15	highly unusual, circumstances" warrant it. Id.	
16	Lo does not identify any change in the law or newly discovered evidence to support	
17	reconsideration. She has not shown my prior order was clearly erroneous or manifestly unjust.	
18	Nor are there any highly unusual circumstances warranting reconsideration. Despite numerous	
19	opportunities, Lo did not file an amended complaint as directed. Even her motion for	
20	reconsideration does not attach a proposed amended complaint. Lo thus presents no basis to	
21	reconsider.	
22	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Yung Lo's motion for reconsideration	
23	(ECF No. 76) is DENIED.	
24	DATED this 6 <sup>th</sup> day October, 2016.	
25	n	
26		ANDREW P. GORDON
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
28		