

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

VICKI SCHROEDER,
Plaintiff,
vs.
SMITH'S FOOD & DRUG CENTERS, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:12-cv-02024-APG-NJK
ORDER OUTLINING STANDARDS
APPLICABLE TO FUTURE
REQUESTS FOR DISCOVERY
EXTENSIONS FILED IN THIS CASE

On September 16, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion to extend discovery deadlines, including some discovery deadlines that have already passed. *See* Docket No. 21. This motion complies with the standards outlined in the prior scheduling order for seeking extensions. *See* Docket No. 9 at 3. The Court issues this order to set the standards for requested extensions filed in this case in the future. In particular, the standard outlined in Docket No. 9 is inconsistent with Local Rule 26-4. Accordingly, any previous orders entered in this case inconsistent with the standards enunciated herein, are hereby **VACATED** to the extent they are inconsistent. The standards enunciated below govern all future requests for extension, whether by stipulation or motion, filed in this matter.

....
....
....
....

1 All future requests for extensions must strictly comply with the currently-operative version of
2 Local Rule 26-4. “All motions or stipulations to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan shall be
3 received no later than twenty-one (21) days *before expiration of the subject deadline.*” Local Rule 26-4
4 (emphasis added). Any motion or stipulation filed before 21 days prior to the expiration of the subject
5 deadline must be supported by a showing of good cause. *Id.* Any motion or stipulation filed less than
6 21 days prior to the expiration of the subject deadline (or after the subject deadline has expired) must
7 be supported by a showing of excusable neglect. *Id.*

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: September 16, 2013.

10
11 
12 _____
13 NANCY J. KOPPE
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28