## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JAVIER LOPEZ,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

vs.

Plaintiff.

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-02137-GMN-NJK

ORDER DENYING PROPOSED **DISCOVERY PLAN (Docket No. 12)** 

On February 4, 2013, the Court denied a proposed discovery plan, noting that the parties failed to comply with at least four aspects of the relevant Local Rules. Docket No. 11. The first deficiency noted by the Court was that the proposed discovery plan failed to "state the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared." Id. at 1 (quoting Local Rule 26-1(e)(1)). The Court 16 ultimately denied the proposed discovery plan with instructions that it be refiled. Id. at 2.

18 The parties have now filed another proposed discovery plan that again fails to identify the 19 date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. See Docket No. 12. The rules and 20 procedures of this Court are designed to foster the efficient resolution of cases. The parties are 21 expected to be familiar with and to follow these rules and procedures. The Court is especially 22 disappointed with this shortcoming given that it was expressly identified as a deficiency in the Court's February 4, 2013 Order.

23 24 25

//

//

//

//

- 26 //
- 27 28

1	The pending stipulated discovery plan is hereby <b>DENIED</b> . The parties are ordered, no later
2	than February 11, 2013, to file another proposed discovery plan that complies with the Local Rules.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED this $7^{\text{th}}$ day of February, 2013.
5	
6	NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge
7	Office States Wagistrate Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2