

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4 ***

5
6
7 GILBERTO CARRILLO,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 DOUGLAS GILLESPIE, et al.,

11 Defendants.

2:12-cv-02165-JCM-VCF

ORDER

12
13 Before the Court are Plaintiff's Belated Motion to Extend Discovery (#122) and Defendants'
14 Motion to Extend Dispositive Motion Deadline (#127).

15 **I. Plaintiff's Belated Motion to Extend Discovery (#122)**

16 In Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Discovery, he seeks to extend discovery by sixty days. (#122).
17 On December 6, 2013, Defendants filed their Opposition to Plaintiff's Belated Motion to Extend
18 Discovery. (#124). Defendants argue that pursuant to Local Rule 26-4, requests to extend discovery
19 must be filed at least twenty-one days prior to the date fixed for completion of discovery by the
20 scheduling order and Plaintiff has failed to comply with this rule. Defendants state that Plaintiff's
21 Motion is barren of facts which demonstrate why an additional extension of discovery is necessary. *Id.*

22 **II. Defendants' Motion to Extend Dispositive Motion Deadline (#127)**

23 Defendants seek to extend dispositive motion deadline and the deadline to file a joint pre-trial
24 order. Two of the three Defendants seeking relief have pending Motions to Dismiss (#'s 63 & 92) and
25 no trial date has been set.

