

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITE HERE HEALTH,

Plaintiff,

v.

DESERT PALACE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-00069-GMN-PAL

ORDER

(Mtn to Seal – Dkt. #63)

12 This matter is before the court on Plaintiff Unite Here Health's Motion for Leave to File
13 Under Seal (Dkt. #63) filed April 3, 2014. Plaintiff seeks leave to file certain information under
14 seal in connection with its Motion for Property of Judgment Debtor to be Applied Toward
15 Judgment (Dkt. #64). The court has considered the Motion for Leave to File Under Seal.

16 The Motion seeks an order pursuant to LR 10-5(b) permitting Plaintiff to file
17 "information that Bistro and Halverson have designated as confidential, including a transcript of
18 Judgment Debtor Examination and certain bank records." Motion at 2:14-18.

19 As an initial matter, the Plaintiffs' reliance on the court's Protective Order (Dkt. #69) is
20 misplaced. As set forth in the court's Order (Dkt. #70), the court approved the parties' blanket
21 protective order to facilitate discovery exchanges, and the court "has not found that any specific
22 documents are secret or confidential." Order (Dkt. #44) at 2:2-3.

23 Plaintiff has not made a particularized showing of good cause to support sealing the
24 documents. Plaintiff has not even identified the specific documents it wishes to file under seal.
25 A party seeking to seal documents attached to non-dispositive motions must make a
26 "particularized showing under the good cause standard of Rule 26(c)." *Kamakana v. City and*
27 *County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (citing *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co.*, 331
28 F.3d 1122, 1135, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)). The court appreciates that the Motion to Seal was filed


1 to comply with Plaintiff's obligation to treat documents designated by opposing counsel as
2 confidential, but a statement that Defendants have designated the documents as confidential does
3 not establish good cause for sealing the documents attached to a non-dispositive motion filed
4 with the court.

5 For these reasons,

6 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 7 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (Dkt. #63) is DENIED
8 WITHOUT PREJUDICE.
- 9 2. Defendants Bistro Central, LV LLC and Carl Halvorson shall have until **May 7,**
10 **2014,** to file a memorandum of points and authorities and any supporting
11 declaration or affidavit to make a particularized showing of good cause why the
12 documents attached to Plaintiff's Motion for Property of Judgment Debtor to be
13 Applied Toward Judgment should remain under seal.
- 14 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Property of Judgment Debtor to be Applied Toward
15 Judgment (Dkt. #64) shall remain under seal until May 7, 2014. If Defendants
16 fail to timely comply with this order, the Clerk of the Court is directed to unseal
17 the documents to make them available on the public docket.

18 DATED this 25th day of April, 2014.

19
20 
21 PEGGY A. LEEN
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28