

On or about July 18, 2006, plaintiff purchased property located at 4018 Judson Avenue, Las
 Vegas, Nevada 89115 (the "property"). Plaintiff borrowed \$391,500 from Harbourton Mortgage
 Investment Corporation in the form of promissory note to purchase the property. (Doc. # 4, Ex. A).
 The note was secured by a deed of trust against the property naming Mortgage Electronic
 Registration System, Inc. ("MERS") as beneficiary. (*Id.*). First American Title Company of Nevada
 was named as trustee. (*Id.*).

On May 9, 2011, MERS recorded a corporation assignment of deed of trust to BAC Home
Loans Servicing, LP, fka Countrywide Home Loan Servicing, LP ("BANA"). (*Id.*, Ex. B). That same
day, BANA recorded a substitution of trustee, naming ReconTrust Company, N.A. ("ReconTrust")
as trustee. (*Id.*, Ex. C). Also on that day, ReconTrust recorded a notice of default against the
property. (*Id.*, Ex. D).

On February 5, 2013, ReconTrust recorded the foreclosure mediation certificate (*id.*, Ex. E),
and a notice of trustee's sale (*id.*, Ex. F).

On February 7, 2013, plaintiff filed the instant complaint against defendants. Liberally
construing plaintiff's complaint, it appears she is pleading causes of action for (1) intentional
misrepresentation, (2) negligent misrepresentation, and (3) quiet title.

- 17 **II. Leg**
- 18

Legal Standards

A. Rule 8

A court may dismiss a plaintiff's complaint for "failure to state a claim upon which relief can
be granted." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). A properly pled complaint must provide "[a] short and plain
statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2); *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). While Rule 8 does not require detailed factual
allegations, it demands "more than labels and conclusions" or a "formulaic recitation of the elements
of a cause of action." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (citation omitted).

25

^{opportunity to respond. Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b);} *see United States v. Ritchie*, 342 F.3d 903, 907 (9th Cir.2003). "A court may, however, consider certain materials—documents attached to the complaint, documents incorporated by reference in the complaint, or matters of judicial notice—without converting the motion to dismiss into a motion for summary judgment." *Ritchie*, 342 F.3d at 908.

"Factual allegations must be enough to rise above the speculative level." *Twombly*, 550 U.S.
 at 555. Thus, to survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter to
 "state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. at 1949 (citation omitted).

In *Iqbal*, the Supreme Court clarified the two-step approach district courts are to apply when
considering motions to dismiss. First, the court must accept as true all well-pled factual allegations
in the complaint; however, legal conclusions are not entitled to the assumption of truth. Id. at 1950.
Mere recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported only by conclusory statements, do not
suffice. *Id.* at 1949.

9 Second, the court must consider whether the factual allegations in the complaint allege a
10 plausible claim for relief. *Id.* at 1950. A claim is facially plausible when the plaintiff's complaint
11 alleges facts that allows the court to draw a reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the
12 alleged misconduct. *Id.* at 1949.

Where the complaint does not permit the court to infer more than the mere possibility of
misconduct, the complaint has "alleged – but not shown – that the pleader is entitled to relief." *Id.*(internal quotations omitted). When the allegations in a complaint have not crossed the line from
conceivable to plausible, plaintiff's claim must be dismissed. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 570.

The Ninth Circuit addressed post-*Iqbal* pleading standards in *Starr v. Baca*, 652 F.3d 1202, 18 1216 (9th Cir. 2011). The *Starr* court stated, "First, to be entitled to the presumption of truth, allegations in a complaint or counterclaim may not simply recite the elements of a cause of action, but must contain sufficient allegations of underlying facts to give fair notice and to enable the opposing party to defend itself effectively. Second, the factual allegations that are taken as true must plausibly suggest an entitlement to relief, such that it is not unfair to require the opposing party to be subjected to the expense of discovery and continued litigation." *Id*.

24

28

B. Rule 9

Rule 9 provides that for a party to allege fraud, he "must state with particularity the
circumstances constituting fraud.... Malice, intent, knowledge, and other conditions of a person's
mind may be alleged generally." FED. R. CIV. P. 9(b). Assertions of fraud must include "the who,

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge 1 what, when, where, and how" of the misconduct alleged. Vess v. Ciba-Geigy Corp. USA, 317 F.3d 2 1097, 1106 (9th Cir. 2003). Rule 9 serves several purposes, including: (1) providing defendants with 3 adequate notice so they are able to defend the charge and deter plaintiffs from filing complaints "as 4 a pretext for the discovery of unknown wrongs'; (2) to protect those whose reputation would be 5 harmed as a result of being subject to fraud charges; and (3) to 'prohibit [] plaintiff[s] from unilaterally imposing upon the court, the parties and society enormous social and economic costs 6 7 absent some factual basis." Kearns v. Ford Motor Co., 567 F.3d 1120, 1125 (9th Cir. 2009) 8 (quoting In re Stac Elecs. Sec Litig., 89 F.3d 1399, 1405 (9th Cir. 1996) (citation omitted)).

9 In the context of a fraud suit involving multiple defendants, a plaintiff must, at a minimum,
10 identify the role of each defendant in the alleged fraudulent scheme to satisfy the fraud pleadings
11 rule. *Swartz v. KPMG LLP*, 476 F.3d 756, 764 (9th Cir. 2007).

12 **III.** Discussion

As an initial matter, the court is mindful that it is "to liberally construe the 'inartful pleading'
of pro se litigants," *Eldridge v. Block*, 832 F.2d 1132, 1137 (9th Cir. 1987). The court will view
plaintiff's pleadings with the appropriate degree of leniency.

16

A. Intentional & negligent misrepresentation

17 To state a claim for intentional misrepresentation, plaintiff must prove: "(1) the defendant made a false representation; (2) with the knowledge or belief that the representation was false (or 18 19 knowledge that it had an insufficient basis for making the representation); (3) and was made with 20 the intention of inducing the party to act or refrain from acting upon the misrepresentation; (4) 21 plaintiff justifiably relied upon the misrepresentation; and (5) plaintiff suffered damage as a result 22 of the reliance." Scaffidi v. United Nissan, 425 F. Supp. 2d 1159, 1168-69 (D. Nev. 2005). The torts 23 of intentional misrepresentation and negligent misrepresentation are related, the difference being 24 "that a negligent misrepresentation is made without a reasonable basis for believing its truthfulness." 25 *Id.* at 1170.

- 26 . . .
- 27

28

1 Here, plaintiff's misrepresentation claims are not pled with the requisite particularity under 2 Rule 9(b)'s heightened pleading standard. Although the court must construe the pleadings liberally, 3 "[p]ro se litigants must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants." King v. 4 Ativeh, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987); Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995) 5 ("Although we construe pleadings liberally in their favor, pro se litigants are bound by the rules of procedure."); see also Jacobsen v. Filler, 790 F.2d 1362, 1364 (9th Cir. 1986) ("[P]ro se litigants 6 7 in the ordinary civil case should not be treated more favorably than parties with attorneys of 8 record."). Specifically, plaintiff fails to provide the time, place, and substance of the alleged 9 misrepresentation. Vess, 317 F.3d at 1106. Further, plaintiff does not sufficiently allege justifiable 10 reliance as there are no factual assertions concerning her reliance on the alleged misrepresentations. 11 Because plaintiff's complaint fails to meet the requisite pleading standard; plaintiff's claims 12 for intentional misrepresentation and negligent misrepresentation are dismissed.

13

B. Quiet title

Plaintiff appears to assert a claim to quiet title. "A trustor cannot quiet title without
discharging his debt. The cloud upon his title persists until the debt is paid." *Aguilar v. Bocci*, 39
Cal. App. 3d 475, 478 (Cal. 1974). "The purpose of a quiet title action is to establish one's title
against adverse claims to real property or any interest therein." *Hafiz v. Greenpoint Mortg. Funding, Inc.*, 652 F.Supp.2d 1039, 1049-50 (N.D. Cal. 2009). In a quiet title action, the burden of proof rests
with the plaintiff to provide good title in himself. *Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp.*, 918 P.2d 314,
318 (Nev. 1996).

Plaintiff has not alleged precisely what adverse interest she is seeking to quiet or that she has
repaid her loan. This cause of action fails.

23

28

C. Relief sought

Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and reconveyance of the property. Injunctive relief is a remedy
and not a cause of action. *See Freeto v. Litton Loan Serv., LP*, no. 3:09-cv-754, 2011 WL 112183,
at *3 (D. Nev. Jan. 12, 2011) (dismissing claims for declaratory relief and permanent injunction
because those remedies may only "be afforded to a party after he has sufficiently established and

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

| 1 | proven his claims"). Additionally, plaintiff cannot show irreparable harm or a high enough likelihood |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | of success on the merits of any of the causes of action. Plaintiff's claim for injunctive relief is |
| 3 | dismissed. |
| 4 | IV. Conclusion |
| 5 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant the Bank of |
| 6 | New York Mellon's motion to dismiss (doc. # 4) be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED. This |
| 7 | action is dismissed against both defendants. |
| 8 | DATED My 16, 2013. |
| 9 | Xerres C. Mahan |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 25 | |
| 23 26 | |
| 20 27 | |
| 27 | |
| James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge | - 6 - |