

1 MARK E. FERRARIO
 Nevada Bar No. 1625
 2 ferrariom@gtlaw.com
 KARA B. HENDRICKS
 3 Nevada Bar No. 7743
 hendricksk@gtlaw.com
 4 MOOREA KATZ
 Nevada Bar No. 12007
 5 katzmo@gtlaw.com
 GREENBERG TRAUERIG, LLP
 6 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 7 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
 Fax: (702) 792-9002
 8 Attorneys for Defendants
 Clark County School District, Emile Wozniak,
 9 Ron Isaacs, Laurie Evans, Eric Gygatz,
 Jim Dinkel and Darrel Brown
 10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 JULIANO ROSARIO and FRANK
 ROSARIO,

Case No. 2:13-cv-00362 (JCM) (PAL)

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
 EMILE WOZNIAK in his official capacity,
 18 RON ISAACS in his official capacity,
 DARREL BROWN, individually and in his
 19 official capacity, LAURIE EVANS
 individually and in her official capacity, ERIC
 20 GYGATZ, individually and in his official
 capacity, and JIM DINKEL, individually and
 21 in his official capacity,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
 MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
 INJUNCTION**

22 Defendants

23
 24 Plaintiffs filed an ex parte application for injunctive relief. (Doc. # 3) Defendants filed a
 25 response in opposition to ex parte application for injunctive relief or, in the alternative, application
 26 for preliminary injunctive relief. (Doc. # 12). Plaintiffs' filed a reply. (Doc. #15).
 27
 28

Greenberg Traurig, LLP
 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway - Suite 400 North
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
 (702) 792-3773
 (702) 792-9002 (fax)

1 A hearing in the above-entitled matter was held on April 10, 2013 at 10:30 a.m. with counsel
2 appearing for all parties. After reviewing the pleadings on file herein and entertaining the argument
3 of counsel, the court finds the following:

- 4 1. Juliano Rosario, a high-school student within Clark County School District (“CCSD”)
5 and his father Frank Rosario, seek injunctive relief and in so doing request the Court
6 return plaintiff Juliano to Desert Oasis High School (“Desert Oasis”) for the remainder
7 of his senior year, request that Juliano be allowed to attend prom and other events at
8 Desert Oasis, seek to prohibit the communication of Juliano’s discipline records and
9 tweets during the pendency of the action and seek to enjoin CCSD from punishing
10 Juliano in any way.
- 11 2. A preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy that may not be granted unless the
12 movant can clearly show “1) he is likely to succeed on the merits of such a claim; 2) he
13 is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief; 3) the balance of
14 equities tips in his favor; and 4) that an injunction is in the public interest.” *Winter v.*
15 *NRDC, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20, 129 S. Ct. 365, 172 L.Ed.2d 249 (2008).
- 16 3. Plaintiffs have failed to satisfy their burden of demonstrating that they will suffer
17 irreparable injury if the preliminary injunction they have requested is not issued.
18 Although plaintiffs allege that Juliano will be harmed because he will not graduate with
19 his friends at Desert Oasis, will not be able to attend the Desert Oasis prom, is not being
20 taught by his former teachers at Desert Oasis and will not be able to attend his sister’s
21 cheerleading events at Desert Oasis, such allegations do not constitute the “irreparable
22 injury” requisite required for the issuance of a preliminary injunction.
- 23 4. Additionally, to the extent plaintiffs’ contend that Juliano’s millenium scholarship is in
24 jeopardy due to defendants’ act of disciplining Juliano, such injury is entirely
25 speculative. “Speculative injury does not constitute irreparable injury.” *Goldie’s*
26 *Bookstore, Inc. v. Superior Court of Cal.*, 739 F.2d 466, 472 (9th Cir. 1984).


Greenberg Traurig, LLP
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway - Suite 400 North
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
(702) 792-3773
(702) 792-9002 (fax)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5. As plaintiffs have not shown irreparable harm, the court need not reach a decision regarding the remaining factors set forth in *Winter v. NRDC, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20, 129 S. Ct. 365, 172 L.Ed.2d 249 (2008).
6. For the foregoing reasons, plaintiffs' ex parte motion for injunctive relief is DENIED.


IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 23rd day of April, 2013


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Respectfully Submitted by:

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP



MARK E. FERRARIO
Nevada Bar No. 1625
KARA B. HENDRICKS
Nevada Bar No. 7743
MOOREA L. KATZ
Nevada Bar No. 12007
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 400 North
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Attorneys for Defendants

Approved as to Form & Content:

HARRIS, YUG & OHLINGER



ROBERTA OHLINGER-JOHNSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10946
ELLIOT D. YUG, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5172
1489 W. Warm Springs Road Ste. #110
Henderson, Nevada 89104
Attorneys for Plaintiffs