UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 4 Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, 2:13-cv-00380-JAD-GWF 5 Plaintiff 6 **Order Granting Motion to Dismiss** v. Claims against Controlco 7 Big Town Mechanical, LLC; Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, 8 [ECF Nos. 331, 343] Defendants 9 10 ALL OTHER CLAIMS/PARTIES 11 12 13 In this surety-coverage dispute, plaintiff Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland brought third-party claims against Controlco. With Controlco's motion for summary judgment 14 on those claims pending, 1 Fidelity and Controlco jointly move to dismiss Fidelity's claims 15 against Controlco and deny Controlco's summary-judgment motion as moot. They also ask me 16 17 to order that Fidelity may call Controlco's expert Don Koch, P.E., as a witness and introduce his 18 expert reports at trial.² 19 Good cause appearing, the motion to dismiss [ECF No. 343] is GRANTED. Fidelity's 20 third-party claims against Controlco are hereby DISMISSED with prejudice, and Controlco's 21 motion for summary judgment [ECF No. 331] is DENIED as moot. 22 However, Fidelity has not demonstrated that an order permitting it to call Mr. Koch is 23 necessary or appropriate at this time, or that his expert report (which typically constitutes 24 hearsay) should be admitted at trial. These requests should be brought as a motion in limine after 25 complying with Local Rule 16-3 and its meet-and-confer requirements. So, to the extent that 26 ¹ ECF No. 331. 27 28 ² ECF No. 343.

1	this motion [ECF No. 343] also seeks in limine rulings about the use of Mr. Koch and his
2	report, it is DENIED without prejudice to Fidelity's ability to bring a proper motion in limine.
3	DATED: March 20, 2018.
4	U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
5	U.S. District sauge Jennitel A. Doisey
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1617	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	