1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8 9	JAMES FERGUSON, individually and as the personal representative of the ESTATE OF ROSELYN FERGUSON,	
10	Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:13-cv-00517-JCM-GWF
11	VS.) ORDER
12	WALMART STORES, INC.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		_)
15	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify (#37), filed on January	
16	27, 2014. Defendant Wal-Mart filed a Notice of Non-Opposition (#38) on January 28, 2014.	
17	Plaintiff seeks clarification of this Court's December 3, 2013 Order (#34) granting Defendant BRR	
18	Architecture's Motion to Strike Complaint (#22).	
19	BRR filed its Motion to Strike (#22) on October 22, 2013, which Plaintiff did not oppose.	
20	The Court heard and granted the Motion (#22) on December 2, 2013. See Minutes of Proceedings,	
21	Doc. #33. In its subsequent written Order (#34), the Court stated that "BRR Architecture, Inc.'s	
22	Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (#22) is granted." Thereafter, the Clerk of the	
23	Court struck Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety. Because the Motion to Strike (#22) was only as	
24	to BRR, however, Plaintiff now moves for a clarification of the Court's Order (#34) reinstating the	
25	Complaint (#14) as to all the other parties. There being no opposition and because the Court only	
26	struck those pleadings operative against BRR,	
27		
28		

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify Court's Order (#37) is **granted**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Clerk of the Court shall reinstate Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (#14) as to all parties except Defendant BRR Architecture, Inc.

DATED this 6th day of February, 2014.

GEORGI

United States Magistrate Judge