1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		DISTRICT COUDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
0 1 2 3	TRUSTEES OF THE NEVADA RESORT ASSOCIATION–INT'L ALLIANCE OF THEATRICAL STAGE EMPLOYEES & MOVING PICTURE MACHINE OPERATORS OF THE UNITED STATES & CANADA, LOCAL 720, PENSION TRUST	
4	Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:13-cv-0530-APG-NJK
5	vs.) ORDER DENYING EX PARTE) APPLICATION
6	ALUMIFAX, INC., et al.,) (Docket No. 17)
7	Defendant(s).	
8		/
9	Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' ex parte application for an extension of time and to	

request service by publication. Docket No. 17. The Court has reviewed the materials presented and

finds a hearing on the application unnecessary. See Local Rule 78-2. For the reasons discussed

below, the application is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice.

20

21

22

Service by publication implicates a defendant's fundamental due process rights. *See, e.g.*, *Price v. Dunn*, 787 P.2d 785, 787 (Nev. 1990). Service by publication is generally disfavored. *See*, *e.g.*, *Dunmore v. Dunmore*, 2012 WL 4364454, *2 (E.D. Cal. 2012). Although Plaintiffs cite to
Federal and Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, the application fails to cite to any case law
whatsoever analyzing service by process and supporting the pending request. As such, the *ex parte*application for service by publication is DENIED without prejudice so that Plaintiffs may present

fully developed arguments grounded in legal authority.

Similarly with respect to the request for an extension of time to serve by publication, Plaintiffs provide no case law in support of that request. As such, the *ex parte* application for an extension is DENIED without prejudice so that Plaintiffs may present fully developed arguments grounded in legal authority.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 29, 2013

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge