1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	SANDRA B. TOODLE,	Case No. 2:13-cv-00599-MMD-NJK
10	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	QUEEN ELIZABETH, et al.,	(Plf.'s Application to Proceed <i>In Forma</i> <i>Pauperis</i> – dkt. no. 1)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Sandra B. Toodle has submitted an Application to Proceed in Forma	
16	Pauperis along with a Complaint. (See dkt. no. 1.) The Court finds that Plaintiff is	
17	unable to pre-pay the filing fee, and thus grants her Application.	
18	The Court has also reviewed the Complaint and finds that this action must be	
19	dismissed. Pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PRLA"), federal courts	
20	must dismiss the claim of a plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis if the action is	
01	"frivelous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or cooke	

frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks 21 22 monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief." 28 U.S.C. 23 § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii). A complaint is frivolous "where it lacks an arguable basis either in 24 law or in fact [The] term 'frivolous,' when applied to a complaint, embraces not only 25 the inarguable legal conclusion, but also the fanciful factual allegation." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); see also Cato v. United States, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 26 27 (9th Cir. 1995). "[A] finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged 28 rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them. An *in forma pauperis* complaint
may not be dismissed, however, simply because the court finds the plaintiff's allegations
unlikely." *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). Allegations of a pro se
complainant are held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by
lawyers. *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972) (per curiam).

Toodle names Queen Elizabeth, Sir Charles, Gwendolyn Johnson, Anita Johnson, 6 7 and Andrew Johnson as defendants, and claims each violated her Thirteenth 8 Amendment right against involuntary servitude. She alleges that Queen Elizabeth and 9 "U.S. Senator Andrew Johnson" gave Gwendolyn Johnson "benefits and injury money" 10 that was hers, part of which was awarded as recoveries from other lawsuits across the 11 country. Toodle's Complaint is rife with fanciful and nonsensical allegations, none of 12 which appear to support a Thirteenth Amendment claim. Accordingly, her Complaint 13 must be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Toodle's Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* (dkt. no. 1) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall file the Complaint.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED as frivolous. The18 Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16

DATED THIS 11th day of April 2013.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE