Harfouche v	. Stars on Tour, Inc. et al Doc. 64
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	
8	ELIE HARFOUCHE,) Case No. 2:13-cv-00615-LDG-NJK
9	Plaintiff(s),
10	vs.) ORDER DENYING PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN (Docket No. 63)
11	HAFIA WEHBE, et al.,
12	Defendant(s).
13	Pending before the Court is a proposed discovery plan (Docket No. 63) which, for the reasons set
14	forth below, is DENIED without prejudice. First, the plan must state the number of days required for
15	discovery. The parties fail to do so. Second, Local Rule 26-1(e)(1) establishes 180 days, measured from
16	the date the first defendant answers or otherwise appears, as a presumptively reasonable time to complete
17	discovery. Where more than 180 days of discovery are sought, the proposed discovery plan must state on
18	its face, "SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED" and provide an explanation why the parties
19	believe additional time is required. Local Rule 26-1(d). In this case, Defendant answered on July 23, 2014.
20	Docket No. 60. However, the proposed discovery plan requests a longer discovery period than 180 days
21	without complying with Local Rule 26-1. Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is hereby DENIED .
22	The parties shall submit a revised discovery plan no later than October 9, 2014, that complies with the
23	Local Rules.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	DATED: October 2, 2014
26	
27	NANCY J. KORPE
28	United States Magistrate Judge