Doc. 69

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(b) and Hon. Miranda Du's Civil Standing Order, Plaintiffs hereby request an extension of time to file their response/replies on summary judgment and their response to Defendants' motion to strike (Dkt # 53) and for an Order setting a revised briefing schedule. Plaintiffs request a four-week extension, such that their filings will all be due on May 30, 2014. Undersigned lead counsel (*pro hac vice*) for Plaintiffs has conferred with counsel for Defendants and Intervenor, who have indicated that they concur in the proposed revised schedule and do not oppose this motion.

There have been two previous extensions granted in this case; this is Plaintiffs' second request. The Court set a schedule for briefing cross-motions on summary judgment on July 25, 2013 (Dkt # 25). On September 17, 2013, Defendants lodged the administrative records of the defendant agencies with the Court and served them on counsel for Plaintiffs. On November 22, 2013, Plaintiffs moved unopposed for an extension of the time to file their opening brief on summary judgment and a revised scheduling order, which this Court granted on November 25, 2013 (Dkt # 33). Plaintiffs filed their opening summary judgment brief in accordance with the revised scheduling order on January 31, 2014 (Dkt # 40). Defendants and Intervenor filed an unopposed motion to extend by four weeks their time to cross-move and respond on March 11, 2014, which this Court granted the next day (Dkt # 52).

Under the current schedule, Plaintiffs' response/replies on summary judgment are due on May 2, 2014, and their response to the motion to strike is due on April 28, 2014. Undersigned lead counsel for Plaintiffs' will be in the field conducting surveys with other clients for the next two weeks, and thereafter has several briefing deadlines in other matters scheduled in early- to mid-May. The requested four-week extension is equivalent to the extension granted to the other parties to file their responses and cross-motions in March.

There currently are no signed rights-of-way for the challenged industrial wind project, and it does not appear that the project is likely to proceed in the near future. *See*http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/lvfo/blm_programs/energy/searchlight_wind_energy.html

(Searchlight Wind Energy Project official website, showing the two rights-of-way—Appendix A

28