

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
5

6 RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

7 Petitioner,

8 v.

9 WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,

10 Respondents.  
11

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
(ECF NO. 117)

12  
13 In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss  
14 (ECF No. 71) on April 2, 2020. The petitioner, Randolph L. Moore, was then due to  
15 respond to that motion by June 1, 2020. See Order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No.  
16 51) (60 days for response). On a motion by Moore, the Court extended that due date by  
17 60 days, to July 31, 2020. See Order entered June 5, 2020 (ECF No. 116).

18 On July 31, 2020, Moore filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 117),  
19 requesting a second 60-day extension of time, to September 29, 2020, to respond to the  
20 motion to dismiss. Moore's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary  
21 because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the motion for  
22 extension of time.

23 The Court finds that Moore's motion for extension of time is made in good faith  
24 and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for an extension of  
25 time. The Court will grant this second 60-day extension of time, as requested. However,  
26 the Court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.  
27  
28

