1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

Petitioner,

V.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 117)

WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,

Respondents.

In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss (ECF No. 71) on April 2, 2020. The petitioner, Randolph L. Moore, was then due to respond to that motion by June 1, 2020. See Order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51) (60 days for response). On a motion by Moore, the Court extended that due date by 60 days, to July 31, 2020. See Order entered June 5, 2020 (ECF No. 116).

On July 31, 2020, Moore filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 117),
requesting a second 60-day extension of time, to September 29, 2020, to respond to the
motion to dismiss. Moore's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary
because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the motion for
extension of time.

The Court finds that Moore's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for an extension of time. The Court will grant this second 60-day extension of time, as requested. However, the Court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.

23

24

25

26

27

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time
2	(ECF No. 117) is GRANTED. Petitioner will have until and including September 29,
3	2020, to respond to the motion to dismiss.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
5	proceedings set forth in the order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51) will remain in
6	effect.
7	
8	DATED August 7, 2020.
9	Varia Calle
10	JAMES C. MAHAN,
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
24	
26	
27	
28	
	2