

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH L. MOORE,
Petitioner,
v.
WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,
Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 146)

In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period and a 62-day extension of time, the respondents were due to file an answer by October 11, 2021. See Order entered April 12, 2021 (ECF No. 143) (120 days for answer); Order entered August 4, 2021 (ECF No. 145) (62-day extension of time).

On October 7, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 146), requesting a further 30-day extension, to November 10, 2021. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time.

///
///
///
///

