

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
6

7 RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.  
12

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
(ECF NO. 148)

13  
14 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period, a 62-day  
15 extension of time, and a 30-day extension of time, the respondents were due to file an  
16 answer by November 10, 2021. See Order entered April 12, 2021 (ECF No. 143) (120  
17 days for answer); Order entered August 4, 2021 (ECF No. 145) (62-day extension of  
18 time); Order entered November 1, 2021 (ECF No. 147) (30-day extension of time).

19 On November 3, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF  
20 No. 148), requesting a further 30-day extension, to December 10, 2021. Respondents'  
21 counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in  
22 other cases and time away from work. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for  
23 extension of time.

24 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good  
25 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the  
26 requested extension of time.

27 ///

28 ///

