

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 152)

13
14 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period, and extensions
15 of time of 62, 30, 30, and 60 days, the respondents were due to file an answer by
16 February 8, 2022. See Order entered April 12, 2021 (ECF No. 143) (120 days for
17 answer); Order entered August 4, 2021 (ECF No. 145) (62-day extension); Order
18 entered November 1, 2021 (ECF No. 147) (30-day extension); Order entered November
19 8, 2021 (ECF No. 149) (30-day extension); Order entered December 16, 2021 (ECF No.
20 151) (60-day extension).

21 On February 8, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
22 No. 152), requesting a further 62-day extension of time, to April 11, 2022. Respondents'
23 counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in
24 other cases and time away from work. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for
25 extension of time.

26 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good
27 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
28 requested extension of time.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Extension of
2 Time (ECF No. 152) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including
3 **April 11, 2022**, to file their answer.


4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Petitioner will have 120 days to file a reply to
5 Respondents' answer; in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set
6 forth in the February 5, 2019, scheduling order (ECF No. 51), will remain in effect.

7

8 DATED March 1, 2022.

9

10



JAMES C. MAHAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28