

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 154)

13
14 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period, and extensions
15 of time of 62, 30, 30, 60 and 62 days, the respondents were due to file an answer by
16 April 11, 2022. See Order entered April 12, 2021 (ECF No. 143) (120 days for answer);
17 Order entered August 4, 2021 (ECF No. 145) (62-day extension); Order entered
18 November 1, 2021 (ECF No. 147) (30-day extension); Order entered November 8, 2021
19 (ECF No. 149) (30-day extension); Order entered December 16, 2021 (ECF No. 151)
20 (60-day extension); Order entered March 1, 2022 (ECF No. 153) (62-day extension).

21 On April 6, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
22 No. 154), requesting a further 60-day extension of time, to June 10, 2022. Respondents'
23 counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in
24 other cases and time away from work. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for
25 extension of time.

26 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good
27 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
28 requested extension of time.

