

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

9 Respondents.
10

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 161)

11
12 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period following the
13 filing of Respondents' answer, and then a 59-day extension of time, Petitioner Randolph
14 L. Moore, who is represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a reply to the
15 answer by March 6, 2023. See Order entered June 13, 2022 (ECF No. 157) (120 days
16 for reply); Order entered January 9, 2023 (59-day extension).

17 On March 6, 2023, Moore filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 161),
18 requesting a further 60-day extension of time, to May 5, 2023, for his reply. Moore's
19 counsel states that he needs this extension of time because of his obligations in other
20 cases. Moore's counsel represents that Respondents do not oppose the motion for
21 extension of time.

22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time
23 (ECF No. 161) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **May 5, 2023**, to file
24 a reply to Respondents' answer. In all other respects, the schedule for further
25 proceedings set forth in the February 5, 2019, scheduling order (ECF No. 51), will
26 remain in effect.
27
28

