1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

23

21

2425

2627

\_\_\_

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 69)

In this capital habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Randolph L. Moore, filed a second amended habeas petition on July 15, 2019 (ECF No. 59). After a 32-day extension of time, a 62-day extension of time, and a 91-day extension of time, Respondents' response to the second amended petition was due March 16, 2020. See Order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51); Order entered September 10, 2019 (ECF No. 64); Order entered October 18, 2019 (ECF No. 66); Order entered December 20, 2019 (ECF No. 68).

On March 13, 2020, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 69), requesting a fourth extension of time, to March 19, 2020, to respond to Moore's second amended petition. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of extraordinary circumstances, including the illness of an assistant and computer problems. Moore does not oppose the requested motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for an extension of time. Under the circumstances, the Court will extend this deadline by 30 days, to April 15, 2020.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 69) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **April 15, 2020**, to respond to the petitioner's second amended habeas petition.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51) will remain in effect.

DATED March 16, 2020.

AMES C MAHAN

JAMES C. MAHAN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE