[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to "state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. The plausibility standard is not akin to a "probability requirement," but it asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. Where a complaint pleads facts that are "merely consistent with" a defendant's liability, it "stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of 'entitlement to relief."

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009) (citations omitted).

Plaintiff claims that he was not afforded the process due to an inmate in a prison disciplinary proceeding, from which he was sanctioned with 168 days in disciplinary segregation. The court gave plaintiff the opportunity to amend the complaint to allege facts showing that disciplinary segregation at the Southern Desert Correctional Center either increased the length of his sentence or imposed an "atypical and significant hardship on the inmate in relation to the ordinary incidents of prison life." Sandin v. Conner, 515 U.S. 472, 484 (1995). Order, at 6-7 (#4).

The amended complaint does not correct that deficiency. Plaintiff alleges, "The 'hole' was unduly inhumane, cruel, and excessively grating, compare to living conditions in SDCC general population." Amended compl., at 6 (#6). Those are the vague legal conclusions that <u>Iqbal</u> disapproves. Plaintiff does not allege specific facts showing how the conditions in disciplinary segregation were different than the conditions in general population.

The amended complaint also adds a new, fatal defect. Plaintiff alleges that he lost good-time credits toward an earlier release from prison and that the expiration date of his sentence increased. Plaintiff does not seek the restoration of those credits, but he does seek monetary damages. "[T]o recover damages for allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment, or for other harm caused by actions whose unlawfulness would render a conviction or sentence invalid, a § 1983 plaintiff must prove that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make such determination, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus." Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994). See also Trimble v. City of Santa Rosa, 49 F.3d 583, 586 (9th Cir. 1995). The rule of Heck applies to prison disciplinary proceedings. See Muhammad v. Close, 540 U.S. 749, 751-52 (2004); Edwards v. Balisok, 520 U.S. 641, 647-48 (1997). Plaintiff has not had the issue of

the credits resolved through one of these methods, and thus the court cannot grant plaintiff any monetary relief. This defect cannot be cured by further amendment. As a matter of law, plaintiff's claim fails. For the same reason, the court certifies that any appeal from this decision would not be taken in good faith.

Defendants have filed a motion for screening (#7). Such a motion is unnecessary; the court has screened the amended complaint in the ordinary course of its business.

Earlier, the court granted plaintiff's application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> (#1), but it did not establish a schedule of payment. Plaintiff will need to pay the filing fee through monthly installments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2) because he is a prisoner.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motion for screening (#7) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), the Nevada Department of Corrections shall pay to the Clerk of the United States District Court, District of Nevada, 20% of the preceding month's deposits to plaintiff's account (inmate #73330), in the months that the account exceeds \$10.00, until the full \$350 filing fee has been paid for this action. The clerk shall send a copy of this order to the finance division of the clerk's office. The clerk shall also send a copy of this order to the attention of the chief of inmate services for the Nevada Department of Corrections, P.O. Box 7011, Carson City, NV 89702.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. The clerk of the court shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an appeal from the dismissal of this action would not be taken in good faith.

Dated: May 27, 2014.

OBERT C. TONES mited States Strict Judge