1. Request for Counsel.

Petitioner moves for the appointment of counsel (Dkt. #s 2 and 5). There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The claims in this case are not especially complex. The court also notes from the petition, and from the other documents that petitioner has submitted to the court, that petitioner has demonstrated an ability to present matters to the court in an organized and understandable manner. Petitioner's motions for appointment of counsel are DENIED.

2. Request for Evidentiary Hearing.

Petitioner also moves for an evidentiary hearing. The federal court's authority to conduct an evidentiary hearing in these proceedings is quite limited. Only where a petitioner has demonstrated that (a) a factual issue relies on a new rule of constitutional law made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the United States Supreme Court or (b) upon a factual predicate that could not have been discovered previously through the exercise of due diligence and, (c) only if the petitioner can then established by clear and convincing evidence that, but for the alleged constitutional violation, no reasonable factfinder would have found petitioner guilty, is an evidentiary hearing authorized. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e)(2). Petitioner has not demonstrated that such circumstances exist in his case. Therefore, the motion shall be denied without prejudice.

1
2
3

///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall FILE and ELECTRONICALLY SERVE the petition (Dkt. #s 1-1 and 10) upon the respondents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have 45 days from entry of this Order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other response, respondents shall address any claims presented by petitioner in his petition as well as any claims presented by petitioner in any Statement of Additional Claims. Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed, respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have 45 days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motions for appointment of counsel (Dkt. #s 2 and 5) and the motion for an evidentiary hearing (Dkt. # 6) are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that henceforth petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents herein shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed shall further be identified by the number or numbers (or ///

letter or letters) of the exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be forwarded – for this case – to the staff attorneys in Reno. Dated this 15th day of October, 2013. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE