

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7 * * *

8 SWITCH, LTD., a Nevada limited liability
9 company,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 MAINSTREAM ADVERTISING, a
13 California business entity,

14 Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-00781-MMD-PAL


ORDER

15 Before the Court is Plaintiff Switch, Ltd.'s Motion for Leave to File Under Seal.
16 (Dkt. no. 18.) The Motion seeks leave to file under seal an exhibit to the Declaration of
17 W. West Allen ("Declaration") offered in support of Plaintiff's request for attorneys' fees.
18 Plaintiff has filed the Declaration as well as the exhibit to the Declaration under seal.
19 The party seeking to seal bears the burden of overcoming the "strong presumption in
20 favor of access" by meeting the "compelling reasons" standard. *Kamakana v. City and*
21 *County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). Exhibit A attached to the
22 Declaration contains detailed information about the nature of the work performed and
23 tasks performed so as to be protected under the attorney-client privilege and work
24 product doctrine. The Court finds that compelling reasons exist to seal Exhibit A. In
25 contrast, the Declaration contains general information about Mr. Allen's involvement in
26 the case, the reasonableness of the attorneys' fees requested and billing rates for
27 counsel working on the case. The Court finds such general information, including
28 specific billing rates, are not the type of information worthy of sealing.

1 It is therefore ordered that the Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (dkt. no. 18) is
2 granted in part and denied in part. It is granted with respect to Exhibit A, which may be
3 filed under seal. It is denied with respect to Mr. West's Declaration.

4 The Clerk is directed to unseal Mr. West's Declaration.

5
6 DATED THIS 24th day of October 2013.

7 
8 _____
9 MIRANDA M. DU
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28