

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARY WILKINS,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant(s).

2:13-CV-918 JCM (PAL)

ORDER

Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Leen. (Doc. # 22). No objections have been filed even though the deadline for filing objections has passed.

Magistrate Judge Leen recommended that plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed due to plaintiff’s repeated failures to adhere to the court’s scheduling and show cause orders. (Doc. # 22).

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v.*

**James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge**

