1 2 3 4 5	JOHN W. BERRY (Cal. Bar No. 295760) (ac Email: berryj@sec.gov AMY JANE LONGO (Cal. Bar No. 198304) Email: longoa@sec.gov LESLIE A. HAKALA (Cal. Bar. No. 199414 Email: hakalal@sec.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission	(admitted pro hac vice)	
6 7 8 9 10	Michele Wein Layne, Regional Director Lorraine Echavarria, Associate Regional Director John W. Berry, Regional Trial Counsel 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908		
11	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
13			
14			
15 16	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.: 2:13-cv-00993-RCJ-VCF	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND <mark>[PROPOSED]</mark> ORDER FOR MODIFICATIONS TO SCHEDULING ORDER	
18	vs.		
19	BANC DE BINARY LTD, OREN	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order for Extension Of Time	
20	SHABAT LAURENT (f/k/a OREN SHABAT), ET BINARY OPTIONS LTD.,	(second request)	
21	BO SYSTEMS LTD. SEYCHELLES and		
22	BDB SERVICES LTD. SEYCHELLES,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") filed its initial		
26	Complaint against Defendant Banc de Binary	Ltd ("BdB Ltd") on June 5, 2013;	
27	WHEREAS, the Court entered a Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order on August		
28	27, 2013 (Dkt. No. 36) (the "Scheduling Orde	er'');	

WHEREAS, the SEC filed a First Amended Complaint against BdB Ltd and Oren Shabat Laurent on January 16, 2014;

WHEREAS, upon stipulation of the parties, the Court entered a revised Scheduling Order on February 14, 2014 (Dkt. No. 51) (the "Revised Scheduling Order");

WHEREAS, the SEC filed a Second Amended Complaint against BdB Ltd, Oren Shabat Laurent, ET Binary Options Ltd., BO Systems Ltd. Seychelles, and BDB Services Ltd. Seychelles on March 11, 2014;

WHEREAS, Oren Shabat Laurent filed his answer to the Second Amended Complaint on April 7, 2014, and the entity defendants—BdB Ltd, ET Binary Options Ltd., BO Systems Ltd. Seychelles, and BDB Services Ltd. Seychelles—filed their answer to the Second Amended Complaint on April 23, 2014;

WHEREAS, counsel for the SEC and counsel for the defendants have been working together in connection with the written discovery, document productions and depositions in the case as to, among other things, the parties added to the case by the Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, the SEC took the depositions of Oren Shabat Laurent, the Rule 30(b)(6) designees of the entity defendants and Yehezkel Shabat during the week of July 7, 2014;

WHEREAS, the parties have recently resolved some outstanding discovery disputes—as to, among other things, the parties recently added to the case—the complexity of which has been heightened in part by the location of many of the discovery materials in foreign locales;

WHEREAS, the parties' resolution of those outstanding discovery disputes, despite the parties' efforts, was not achieved prior to the expiration of the 21-day period under Local Rule 26-4 within which to request an extension of the scheduling order;

WHEREAS the parties were nonetheless able to resolve these issues without the intervention of the Court;

WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred, and agree to, and respectfully request, the following modifications to the Scheduling Order to ensure time for the parties to address these recently resolved issues; and

WHEREAS, this is the parties' second request to modify the Scheduling Order;

1	FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH ABOVE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and
2	among counsel for the parties as follows:
3	1. The parties stipulate and agree to the following changes to the Revised
4	Scheduling Order:
5	a. The discovery cut-off date shall be extended from July 18, 2014 to
6	September 19, 2014. See Dkt. No. 51 (Scheduling Order) at 3.
7	b. The cut-off for written discovery shall be extended from July 18, 2014 to
8	September 19, 2014. See id.
9	c. The cut-off for oral discovery shall be extended from July 18, 2014 to
10	September 19, 2014. See id.
11	d. The due date for dispositive motions shall be extended from August 15,
12	2014 to October 10, 2014. See id.
13	//
14	//
15	//
16	//
17	//
18	//
19	//
20	//
21	//
22	//
23	//
24	//
25	//
26	//
27	//
28	

1	e. The due date for the j	oint pre-trial order shall be extended from September
2	19, 2014 to November 14, 2014. See id.	
3		
4	Dated: July 11, 2014	Dated: July 11, 2014
5		
6	/s/ Amy J. Longo	/s/ Rachel Hirsch
7	John W. Berry Amy J. Longo	A. Jeff Ifrah David B. Deitch
8	Leslie A. Hakala	Rachel Hirsch
9	5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036	Ifrah Law 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
	Telephone: (323) 965-3998	Suite 650
10	Facsimile: (323) 965-3908	Washington, DC 20006-2004
11		Telephone: (202) 524-4140
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Facsimile: (202) 524-4141
	Securities and Exchange Commission	Attorneys for Defendants
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	II IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated:7-14-2014	
17	Dated:	_
18		Contactor
19		The Honorable Cam Ferenbach
20		United States Magistrate Judge
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is:		
3	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90036-3648		
4	Telephone No. (323) 965-3213; Facsimile No. (323) 965-3908.		
5 6	On July 11, 2014, I caused to be served the document entitled STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATIONS TO SCHEDULING ORDER		
7	on all the parties to this action addressed as stated on the attached service list:		
8	OFFICE MAIL: By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I placed for collection and mailing today following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this agency's		
9	practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing; such correspondence would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business.		
10 11	PERSONAL DEPOSIT IN MAIL: By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I personally deposited with the U.S. Postal Service. Each such envelope was deposited with the U.S. Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, with first class postage thereon fully prepaid.		
12	EXPRESS U.S. MAIL: Each such envelope was deposited in a facility regularly		
13	maintained at the U.S. Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail at Los Angeles, California, with Express Mail postage paid.		
14 15	HAND DELIVERY: I caused to be hand delivered each such envelope to the office of the addressee as stated on the attached service list.		
16 17	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE: By placing in sealed envelope(s) designated by United Parcel Service ("UPS") with delivery fees paid or provided for, which I deposited in a facility regularly maintained by UPS or delivered to a UPS courier, at Los Angeles, California.		
18	ELECTRONIC MAIL: By transmitting the document by electronic mail to the electronic mail address as stated on the attached service list.		
19 20	E-FILING: By causing the document to be electronically filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, which effects electronic service on counsel who are registered with the CM/ECF system.		
21	\Box FAX: By transmitting the document by facsimile transmission. The transmission was reported as complete and without error.		
22	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
23	Date: July 11, 2014 /s/ Amy J. Longo		
24	Amy J. Longo		
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	<u>SEC v. Banc de Binary Ltd, <i>et al.</i></u> United States District Court – District of Nevada
2	Case No. 2:13-cv-00993-RCJ-VCF
3	SERVICE LIST
4	A. Jeff Ifrah, Esq.
5	David B. Deitch, Esq. Rachel Hirsch, Esq.
6	Ifrah PLLC 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 650
7	Washington, DC 20006-2004
8	jeff@ifrahlaw.com ddeitch@ifrahlaw.com
9	rhirsch@ifrahlaw.com Tel: (202) 524-4147
10	
11	Craig S. Denney, Esq.
12	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P 50 West Liberty Street
13	Suite 510 Reno, Nevada 89501
14	cdenney@swlaw.com
15	jcochran@swlaw.com Tel: (775) 785-5440
16	Attorneys for Defendants
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	