	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

Additionally, the parties provide no explanation concerning why the Court should grant an extended discovery period. The parties are currently requesting 186 days to complete discovery in addition to the past 4 months in which they should have been, but were not, conducting discovery. When parties request a discovery period that exceeds the typical 180 days, the Discovery Plan must state on its face "SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED" and the parties must provide "a statement of the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply to the case . . ." *See* LR 26-1(d). The parties have not done so here.

Finally, the parties misstate LR 26-4. Requests to extend discovery deadlines must be filed at least 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline sought to be extended. The pending proposed discovery plan incorrectly states that dates in the discovery plan and scheduling order may be modified up to 20 days before the discovery cutoff date. All requests to extend deadlines in the discovery plan must comply fully with LR 26-4. The Court will not make any exceptions.

A revised discovery plan and scheduling order that complies with the Local Rules must be filed no later than November 4, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 1, 2013

NANCY J. KOPPE

United States Magistrate Judge