Joshlin v. Neven et al Doc. 42

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 PIERRE DONTE JOSHLIN, Case No. 2:13-cv-01014-JAD-NJK 5 Petitioner, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS 6 AND DIRECTING RESPONSE TO SECOND AMENDED PETITION VS. 7 DWIGHT NEVEN, et al., 8 Respondents. 9 10 This is a habeas corpus proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On June 26, 2015, this court entered an order granting petitioner's unopposed motion to file a second amended petition for writ of 11 12 habeas corpus. Doc. 39. In response to that order, petitioner filed a second amended petition that 13 omits Ground 3(c) but otherwise contains the same claims and allegations as his first amended petition. Doc. 41. 14 15 Because respondents' motion to dismiss (Doc. 31) is premised entirely on the procedural 16 viability of Ground 3(c), the omission of this claim renders the motion moot. Thus, the court denies the motion to dismiss and takes this opportunity to schedule further proceedings in this case. 17 18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' motion to dismiss (Doc. 31) is DENIED 19 as moot. 20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents have until August 21, 2015, to file an 21 answer to petitioner's second amended petition (Doc. 41). With their answer, respondents must 22 file any portion of the relevant state court record that has yet to be filed herein. Petitioner will have 23 45 days from the date on which the answer is served to file a reply. 24 Dated this 7th day of July, 2015. 25

26

27

Jenniser Dørsey United States District Judge