



1 immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). A complaint, or portion thereof, should be  
2 dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted “if it appears beyond a  
3 doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claims that would entitle him to  
4 relief.” *Buckey v. Los Angeles*, 968 F.2d 791, 794 (9th Cir. 1992). A complaint may be dismissed  
5 as frivolous if it is premised on a nonexistent legal interest or delusional factual scenario. *Neitzke*  
6 *v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327–28 (1989). Moreover, “a finding of factual frivolousness is  
7 appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible,  
8 whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them.” *Denton v.*  
9 *Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). When a court dismisses a complaint under § 1915(e), the  
10 plaintiff should be given leave to amend the complaint with directions as to curing its deficiencies,  
11 unless it is clear from the face of the complaint that the deficiencies could not be cured by  
12 amendment. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995).

13 The Court shall liberally construe a complaint by a pro se litigant. *Eldridge v. Block*, 832  
14 F.2d 1132, 1137 (9th Cir. 2007). This is especially important for civil rights complaints. *Ferdik v.*  
15 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1261 (9th Cir. 1992). However, a liberal construction may not be used to  
16 supply an essential element of the claim absent from the complaint. *Bruns v. Nat’l Credit Union*  
17 *Admin.*, 12 F.3d 1251, 1257 (9th Cir. 1997) *quoting Ivey v. Board of Regents*, 673 F.2d 266, 268  
18 (9th Cir. 1982).

19 Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for dismissal of a complaint  
20 for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Review under Rule 12(b)(6) is  
21 essentially a ruling on a question of law. *See Chappel v. Laboratory Corp. of America*, 232 F.3d  
22 719, 723 (9th Cir. 2000). A properly pled complaint must provide a “short and plain statement of  
23 the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.” Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a)(2); *Bell Atlantic Corp. v.*  
24 *Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). Although Rule 8 does not require detailed factual  
25 allegations, it demands “more than labels and conclusions” or a “formulaic recitation of the  
26 elements of a cause of action.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (citing *Papasan v.*  
27 *Allain*, 478 U.S. 265, 286 (1986)). The court must accept as true all well-pled factual allegations  
28 contained in the complaint, but the same requirement does not apply to legal conclusions. *Iqbal*,

1 129 S.Ct. at 1950. Mere recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported only by conclusory  
2 allegations, do not suffice. *Id.* at 1949. Secondly, where the claims in the complaint have not  
3 crossed the line from plausible to conceivable, the complaint should be dismissed. *Twombly*, 550  
4 U.S. at 570.

## 5 **II. Instant Complaint**

### 6 **A. Retaliation**

7 To state a retaliation claim under Title VII, a plaintiff must allege that: (1) she engaged in a  
8 protected activity, such as complaining about discriminatory practices; (2) she suffered an adverse  
9 employment action; and (3) there is a causal connection between her protected activity and the  
10 adverse act. *Davis v. Team Elec. Co.*, 520 F.3d 1080, 1093-94 (9th Cir. 2008). Here, Plaintiff  
11 establishes that she engaged in a protected activity, insofar as she filed a complaint with the EEOC.  
12 An adverse employment action must be “materially adverse” so that it would “dissuade[] a  
13 reasonable worker from making or supporting a charge of discrimination. *Burlington Northern &*  
14 *Santa Fe Railway Co. v. White*, 548 U.S. 53, 67 (2006). In making this determination, “context  
15 matters,” as “a schedule change in an employee’s work schedule may make little difference to  
16 many workers, but may matter enormously to a young mother with school-age children.”  
17 *Burlington*, 548 U.S. at 69. A cut in the Plaintiff’s hours can be sufficient to constitute an adverse  
18 employment action. *Luevano v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 722 F.3d 1014, 1029 (7th Cir. 2013).  
19 Plaintiff further alleges that such changes lasted for five months, and “impacted my eligibility for  
20 vacation hours, bonus checks, regular income.” (#11, pg. 6). This is sufficient to fulfill the second  
21 element of a prima facie case for retaliation. The third element of causation is determined by the  
22 traditional principles of “but-for” causation. *See University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center*  
23 *v. Nassar*, 133 S.Ct. 2517 (2013). Plaintiff alleges that she was fired for making EEO and NERC  
24 complaints. Plaintiff pleads no facts to show that there is a connection between her firing and her  
25 protected activity. However, her final EEO complaint was made three months prior to the  
26 mediation in which Dorothy Perkins allegedly attempted to coax the Plaintiff into quitting, which is  
27 sufficiently close in time to establish causation solely based on timing. *See Strother v. Southern*  
28 *California Permanente Medical Group*, 79 F.3d 859, 870 n.14 (9th Cir. 1996) *citing Flait v. North*

1 *American Watch Corporation*, 3 Cal.App.4th 467, 478 (Cal. Ct. App. 2nd 1992). Therefore, the  
2 Court finds that the Plaintiff has properly pled a claim for retaliation.

3 **B. Gender Discrimination**

4 Plaintiff alleges that she was held to a different standard and treated more harshly than her  
5 male co-workers. To establish a prima facie case of gender discrimination under Title VII, a  
6 plaintiff must show that: (1) she is female; (2) she performed her job adequately; (3) she suffered  
7 an adverse employment action; and (4) she was treated differently than similarly situated male  
8 employees who did not suffer the same adverse employment action. *Cornwell v. Electra Cent.*  
9 *Credit Union*, 439 F.3d 1018, 1031 (9th Cir. 2006). Plaintiff's statement that "John Doyle holds  
10 me to a different standard than my male counterparts doing the same job" is supported by few other  
11 facts. (#11, pg. 8). Plaintiff alleges that male employees were given time off by John Doyle  
12 "without him taking any of their personal or vacation time." (#11, pg. 6). When the Plaintiff asked  
13 for time off, Doyle took her personal time. This is sufficient to state a prima facie case of gender  
14 discrimination. The Court finds that the Plaintiff has properly pled a claim of gender  
15 discrimination.

16 **C. Disability Discrimination**

17 To establish a prima facie case of disability discrimination under the Americans with  
18 Disabilities Act, a plaintiff must show that (1) she is disabled, (2) she is qualified for the position,  
19 and (3) she suffered an adverse employment action because of her disability. *Snead v.*  
20 *Metropolitan Property and Cas. Ins. Co.*, 237 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2001). A disability is a  
21 physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, a record of  
22 such an impairment, or being regarded as having such an impairment. *See* 42 U.S.C. §  
23 12102(1)(A)-(C). Plaintiff represents that she has moderate to severe hearing loss, a condition that  
24 she has had since birth. This is sufficient to constitute a disability under the Americans with  
25 Disabilities Act. *See* 29 C.F.R. Pt. 1630, App. (describing "hearing loss" as an impairment and  
26 "hearing" as a major life activity). The Court finds that the Plaintiff has properly pled a claim of  
27 disability discrimination.

28 . . .

1 If Plaintiff elects to proceed in this action by filing an amended complaint, she is informed  
2 that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to make her amended complaint complete.  
3 Local Rule 15-1 requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any  
4 prior pleading. This is because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original  
5 complaint. *See Loux v. Rhay*, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir.1967). Once Plaintiff files an amended  
6 complaint, the original pleading no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an  
7 amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant  
8 must be sufficiently alleged. Accordingly,

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall file the Amended Complaint  
10 (#11).

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall issue summons to  
12 Defendants named in the complaint and deliver the summons to the U.S. Marshal for service. The  
13 Clerk of the Court shall send the required USM-285 forms to Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall have twenty  
14 (20) days to furnish the required USM-285 forms to the U.S. Marshal at 333 Las Vegas Blvd.  
15 South, Suite 2058, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101. After Plaintiff receives copies of the completed  
16 USM-285 forms from the U.S. Marshal, he has twenty (20) days to file a notice with the court  
17 identifying if Defendants were served. If Plaintiff wishes to have the U.S. Marshal attempt service  
18 again on any unserved defendant, then a motion must be filed with the court identifying the  
19 unserved defendant, specifying a more detailed name and address and indicating whether some  
20 other manner of service should be used. Pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
21 Procedure, service must be accomplished within one hundred twenty (120) days from the date that  
22 the complaint was filed.

23 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that henceforth, Plaintiff shall serve upon Defendants, or  
24 their attorney if they have retained one, a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document  
25 submitted for consideration by the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted  
26 for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to

27 ...

28 ...

1 Defendants or their counsel. The Court may disregard any paper received by a district judge,  
2 magistrate judge, or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate of service.

3 DATED this 7th day of April, 2015.

4  
5   
6 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28